Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 1 of 137

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT						
2	FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON						
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)						
4) Case No. 3:14-CR-267-BR Plaintiff,						
5	v.) November 3, 2015						
6	FABIAN SANDOVAL-RAMOS(1) and RAUL) ARCILA(3),						
7	Defendants.						
8	Portland, Oregon						
9	TDANGODIDE OF DDOGEDINGS						
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS (Jury Trial - Day 1)						
11	BEFORE THE HONORABLE ANNA J. BROWN, DISTRICT JUDGE						
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22	COURT REPORTER: AMANDA M. LeGORE CSR, RDR, FCRR, CRR, CE						
23	U.S. Courthouse 1000 SW Third Avenue Rm 301						
24	Portland, OR 97204 (503)326-8184						
25	(303)320 0104						

1 APPEARANCES: FOR THE PLAINTIFF: LEAH BOLSTAD 2 (Assistant U.S. Attorney) ELISSA GOLOBORODKO 3 (Certified Law Student) U.S. Attorney's Office 4 1000 SW Third Avenue Portland, OR 97204 5 (503) 727-1000 6 7 FOR DEFENDANT SANDOVAL-RAMOS: BENJAMIN ANDERSEN 8 121 SW Salmon Street 1420 World Trade Center 9 Portland, OR 97204 (503)222-251010 11 FOR DEFENDANT ARCILA: ROBERT SEPP 2350 Willamette Falls Drive, Suite 9 12 West Linn, OR 97068 (503)998-771913 14 INTERPRETERS: STEVEN MUZIK FERNANDO HERRAN 15 ALSO PRESENT: SUSAN COOKE 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

	Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO	Document 253	Filed 0	6/03/16	Page 3 of 137	_	
						3	
1	INDEX						
2							
3	Preliminary Jury Instructions						
4							
5							
6							
7							
8	Witness Index						
9	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	D	irect	Cross	ReDirect	ReCross	
10	Dustin Kilty Charles Lovato	<u>D</u>	81 92	<u>Cross</u> 90 104	KeDITect	Kecross	
11	Sara Short		106 120	115 127	118 130		
12	Timothy Goshorn Timothy Goshorn		120	127	130		
13							
14		-0	00-				
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							

Colloguy (The following excerpt of testimony was held on 1 2 Tuesday, November 3, 2015; 8:32 a.m.) 3 THE COURT: We're ready to go on the record, Counsel. Please take your places. 4 Call the case, please. 5 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 6 7 Your Honor, this is the matter of the United States 8 versus Fabian Sandoval-Ramos and Mr. Raul Arcila. 9 I'm not sure the defense is ready with the 10 interpretation. 11 Mr. Muzik, are we all ready to go? 12 INTERPRETER MUZIK: Yes, we are. 13 MS. BOLSTAD: All right. And, your Honor, the 14 defendants are present. 15 Mr. Sandoval-Ramos is represented by Mr. Andersen. 16 Mr. Arcila is represented here by Mr. Sepp. 17 With me at counsel table is Elissa Goloborodko, from 18 Willamette University school of law. She'll be helping at the 19 trial. Later I'll be having other people here. 20 And we're here on day 1 of trial. 21 Parties are ready to proceed, I believe, to discuss 22 jury instructions prior to the jury's arrival. 23 THE COURT: And to complete our discussion regarding 24 the jury selection process.

25

Good morning.

MR. SEPP: Good morning, your Honor. 1 2 THE COURT: I want to note for the record, I received 3 a message that the parties have mutually agreed not to call Mr. Sandoval-Ramos's wife as a witness. And that would, if 4 accurate, render moot the motion filed by Mr. Audet on her 5 behalf. 6 7 Can counsel for all parties please confirm that no 8 party intends to call her as a witness and, therefore, that 9 motion is moot. 10 MR. SEPP: I don't intend to call her, your Honor. 11 MR. ANDERSEN: Nor do I. 12 MS. BOLSTAD: And the Government does not intend to call her. 13 14 THE COURT: Under any circumstances, anyone? 15 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Very good. 17 Then I'll ask Ms. Boyer to note on the record that 18 the motion Mr. Audet filed is now denied as moot. 19 I want to talk very concisely here -- Counsel, you 20 can be seated -- about the Government's position and the 21 defendants' positions regarding the structure of these charges. 22 I continue to have some confusion about how the parties assert 23 issues should be reflected on the verdict form, addressed in

the instruction. And these are issues I want to resolve, if at

all possible now, before jury selection, so I can be very

24

direct with the jurors during the jury selection.

I do want to be quite specific with them at the beginning of the case that this is a case that originated with five -- well, actually, with six defendants, one of whom has never been located, three of whom have entered guilty pleas, and two of whom are here for trial arising from a heroin overdose resulting in the death of Justin Delong in -- and I'll get the date in front of me so I don't misspeak.

MS. BOLSTAD: March 29th, 2014.

THE COURT: Thank you.

I sent all of you another round of proposed instruction -- instructions, but, as I say, I want to focus for the moment on the structural presentation of the actual elemental analysis of the case.

We spoke at some length on Friday about the Supreme Court's use in **Burrage** of the term "element" and the use of the term "enhancement." The Government concedes it must prove the resulting-in-death factor beyond any reasonable doubt.

I think it is extraordinarily confusing to the jury not to call this what in practicality it is; that is to say, the Government charges in Count 1 conspiracy to distribute heroin resulting in death.

And I, as you saw in this second draft of instructions, constructed the elements to include a specific element of resulting in death as part of the Count 1 conspiracy

Colloquy

1 charge.

And then I constructed a lesser and included offense analysis that, if the jury was unable to agree on a verdict for Count 1 with an elemental piece of resulting in death, then they were to determine whether the Government proved a lesser included offense of conspiracy to distribute heroin or to distribute heroin.

It was not clear to me which lesser included offense the parties were actually focusing on. And while I don't need to be particularly precise on that right now, I would rather know specifically what the parties' positions are.

The charge is a conspiracy charge, not a distribution of heroin resulting in death charge. Clearly, distribution of heroin is a lesser and included offense of distribution of heroin resulting in death. But is the lesser and included offense you're focusing on conspiracy to distribute heroin that did not result in death?

I do not agree with Mr. Andersen's perspective that the conspiracy the Government has to prove was one for which the object was a distribution of heroin that would result in death. That's just wrong, as a matter of law, so I don't want to spend a lot of time on that. But I do want to know what your positions are on the lesser included feature because it matters right now.

Ms. Boyer -- you're not Ms. Boyer. She's Bolstad.

Colloguy Good morning, Ms. Bolstad. 1 Tell me what your position is with respect to the 2 3 framework for the Count 1 charge and any lesser and included offense. 4 MS. BOLSTAD: I think the framework that you have 5 drafted is about as -- the best we can do. I agree there are 6 7 some confusing aspects, but I don't have an objection to how 8 you have framed it, telling the jury it's a conspiracy to 9 distribute heroin resulting in death; and making resulting in 10 death one of the elements of the crime. But --11 THE COURT: So that said --12 MS. BOLSTAD: -- I have a lot of additional things about how it's worded. 13 14 THE COURT: Yes. Well, we'll get to that. 15 Yes. But the concept we can live with, and we can 16 spend time on the wording after a bit. 17 What about a lesser and included approach to Count 1? 18 Do you want any kind of lesser and included approach? 19 Do you -- do you want to suffer the risk of a not 20 quilty verdict, and that's the end of Count 1? 21 MS. BOLSTAD: I want to scrap the lesser included on 22 I want to scrap it. Count 1. 23 THE COURT: You don't want one at all?

MS. BOLSTAD: Because we have Count 2, which is

basically a conspiracy to distribute heroin.

24

Colloguy That would simplify it. 1 THE COURT: 2 MS. BOLSTAD: (Nods head.) 3 THE COURT: If the Government's going up or down on conspiracy to distribute heroin resulting in death, no lesser 4 5 and included. MS. BOLSTAD: Correct. 6 7 THE COURT: All right. 8 Mr. Sepp. You'll get called on first, so you get to 9 go first. 10 MR. SEPP: Good morning. 11 I'm fine with your jury instruction. I too would --12 I see no point in having the lesser included offense on that 13 first one because, as she pointed out, it's just going to roll 14 into the overlapping conspiracy in Count No. 2. 15 THE COURT: All right. So you agree that I should 16 tell the jury Count 1 is conspiracy to distribute heroin 17 resulting in death, and not be concerned -- I shouldn't be concerned at all with the lesser included offense on Count 1. 18 19 MR. SEPP: No, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Andersen? 20 MR. ANDERSEN: Your Honor, I think that is a workable 21 22 solution that makes sense to me, too. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 24 So that takes care of Count 1. The verdict

form, then, will be an up or down, guilty or not guilty for

1 conspiracy to distribute heroin resulting in death.

Now, Count 2. Clarify for me, please.

This is a more traditional conspiracy to distribute a controlled substance, specifically heroin, with an enhancement of a thousand grams or more. Is that right?

MS. BOLSTAD: Yes.

THE COURT: So the charge is not conspiracy to distribute a thousand grams or more of heroin. The charge is conspiracy to distribute heroin. And there's -- if the defendant -- either one of them is found guilty, then and only then the jury determines whether the Government has proved an object of the conspiracy was a thousand grams or more.

Is that the Government's position?

MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And then there isn't any lesser and included offense there at all. We don't need lesser and included at all there?

MS. BOLSTAD: We don't if that question of quantity is phrased as a special verdict question.

THE COURT: Yes, it would be, because the Government has charged it. It is classically a sentencing enhancement, around this **Burrage** problem -- with which this **Burrage** problem doesn't have any track.

I mean, we've been instructing and having specific verdict forms on quantities for purposes of sentencing

enhancements that are disputed, ever -- for years now, ever since it was held that a defendant has a right to a finding beyond any reasonable doubt for those enhancements that increase exposures. And, here, the 1,000 grams clearly does.

So let me ask each of the defense counsel if you agree that the premise, then, on Count 2 is a straightforward presentation to the jury of conspiracy to distribute heroin. In the event a defendant is found guilty, then the jury is asked, did the jury -- did the Government prove beyond a reasonable doubt that an object of the conspiracy was the distribution of 1,000 grams or more of heroin?

Mr. --

MR. SEPP: Yes, I agree.

THE COURT: Mr. Andersen?

MR. ANDERSEN: Your Honor, if I'm to understand the Court that that's an object of the conspiracy, so that it was the intent of the conspiracy?

THE COURT: Maybe -- maybe I'm creating too high a burden there. Maybe it's that a thousand grams or more was distributed in the course of that conspiracy.

MS. BOLSTAD: (Nods head.)

THE COURT: I think that's actually the question.

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ ANDERSEN: I would agree with the former, I do have problems with the latter.

THE COURT: Of course you would.

12

MR. ANDERSEN: But I understand the Court's position 1 2 on some of the issues that I've already raised. I don't 3 want --THE COURT: I just want to interrupt you and correct 4 5 something. I don't take positions. The Court is going to make rulings; you all take positions. I'm not an advocate here for 6 7 anything other than trying to get it legally correct. 8 And I'll go to the Ninth Circuit model jury 9 instructions for the verbiage, and I'll get you a verdict form. 10 We can fuss with the language specifically. But I want to be 11 sure I agree -- getting clarity from all of you, that the Count 12 2 charge is a straight-up conspiracy to distribute heroin, with 13 a special interrogatory on quantity. 14 MR. ANDERSEN: Yes. I believe that that would --15 THE COURT: You get a chance to take an exception 16 after you see the way I worded it. 17 MR. ANDERSEN: Thank you. 18 THE COURT: This is how I'm going to present it to 19 the jury for opening -- for discussion. 20 We have two conspiracies. The difference between the 21 two -- Counts 1 and 2 -- is Count 1 is where the Government has 22 to prove distribution of heroin resulting in the death of 23 Mr. Delong and, of course, the defendant's individual

And Count 2 is a conspiracy to distribute heroin

involvements with that conspiracy.

24

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 13 of 137 13 Colloguy without a death factor, and where the Government also is 1 alleging a thousand grams or more. 2 3 Now, Counts 3 and 4 are similar to Counts 2 -- I'm sorry, to Counts 9 and 10. Similar structurally to Count 2 4 only, A, they apply to Mr. Arcila only; and, B, the quantity is 5 a hundred grams and not a thousand grams? 6 7 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor. 8 THE COURT: And, again, the structure would be the 9 same, an enhancement interrogatory? 10 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor. 11 MR. SEPP: Correct, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And that doesn't affect you, 13 Mr. Andersen. All right. No lesser and included offenses at all, then? 14 15 MR. SEPP: No. No, your Honor. 16 THE COURT: Okay. Well, there you go. 17 Ms. Bolstad, I think it would be helpful if you made 18 clear to me what language you want relative to the elemental 19 structure of Count 1, so that I don't inadvertently raise an 20 issue that I haven't yet considered. 21 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: With the jury, that is. 23 MS. BOLSTAD: In the instruction on elements of Count

THE COURT: And just for context, let me state for

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloguy

14

the record the parties are referring to a so-called draft No. 2 which I e-mailed to them last night. This is not a final version in any respect. We'll have more dialogue about these before they become final, but for the record this is on the draft 2. What page? MS. BOLSTAD: And, your Honor, when I open this I get different pages, so --THE COURT: Under what heading? MS. BOLSTAD: It's under elements of Count 1. THE COURT: Okay. MS. BOLSTAD: And it would be the third paragraph here. THE COURT: Okay. The use of heroin distributed in the course of this conspiracy resulted in the death of Justin Delong, which means that the heroin that was distributed as an object of the conspiracy was the causative factor of Mr. Delong's death. MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: What's erroneous with that? MS. BOLSTAD: It's not erroneous. It is consistent with Burrage. However, I request that we make it even more clear to the jury because of case law that has come after Burrage saying normal jurors do not necessarily know what is cause in fact and, therefore, I'm requesting the language from

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 15 of 137 15 Colloguy page 50 of my requested jury instructions, paragraph 2. 1 And I can read that aloud. 2 3 THE COURT: Yes. Let me first get it in front of me, though. 4 Paragraph 2, all right. 5 MS. BOLSTAD: Of page 50. 6 What I am requesting is that the Court use this 7 8 entire paragraph, which is, I think, a more strict instruction 9 than what the Court's draft 2 has. 10 THE COURT: Okay. 11 MS. BOLSTAD: And that is, Whether the distributed 12 heroin resulted in the death of another person means that the distributed heroin was the cause in fact of the person's death. 13 14 It is not sufficient for the heroin use to have been merely a 15 contributing factor --16 THE COURT: Okay. I can stop you there. I can't 17 imagine defendants would object to that because it is a bit 18 more stringent. 19 Mr. Sepp? 20 MR. SEPP: No, your Honor. That's fine. 21 THE COURT: Mr. Andersen, I'm going to put this in

THE COURT: Mr. Andersen, I'm going to put this in the next draft, so you can look at it there.

22

23

24

25

But, yes, we will go with this. I won't be reading from my draft 2 to the jury, but the but-for cause -- frankly, I -- it's more confusing to a juror, I think.

16

But if, to protect the record, you want this 1 2 language -- and it does come from a model instruction, in 3 part -- I'll -- I'll include it in the next draft, so --MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 4 THE COURT: Okay. What other fundamental concern did 5 6 you have? 7 I have a question about the mere presence question. 8 MS. BOLSTAD: Right. 9 THE COURT: And this -- you saw at the end, I left 10 some language that I wasn't sure what that referred to, whether 11 it referred only to Mr. Sandoval-Ramos. This business of he's 12 not actually present, I don't know enough about your facts to 13 know what that is referring to. So talk to me about that. 14 MS. BOLSTAD: I think there are two issues here. 15 Both involve Mr. Arcila only. 16 THE COURT: Okay. 17 MS. BOLSTAD: Mr. Sepp, at one time, proposed an 18 alibi defense. 19 THE COURT: Yes. 20 MS. BOLSTAD: So my proposed instruction about alibi 21 is only if that is actually going to be a defense, which I'm 22 not sure it is. 23 MR. SEPP: I don't anticipate using it. It just 24 depends on -- I don't know what Mr. Baker is going to testify

I may use it at that point. But the mere presence is

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 17 of 137 17 Colloquy strictly for Mr. Arcila. 1 THE COURT: Okay. So from your perspective, 2 3 Mr. Sepp, you want -- you want a mere presence instruction? MR. SEPP: Yes. 4 THE COURT: And you want the more classic mere 5 presence instruction, not one that's just a mere presence 6 instruction. And you want it with respects to Counts 9 and 10. 7 8 MR. SEPP: Yes, Counts 9 and 10. 9 THE COURT: Not the conspiracy. That's a different 10 scenario. Actually, mere presence in conspiracy is pretty much 11 subsumed in the general instructions. 12 MR. SEPP: Have to do more than just -- yeah. 13 THE COURT: Just meet or be around, right? 14 MR. SEPP: Yes. Yes. That's fine there, but the 15 mere presence --16 THE COURT: So your view is mere presence only as to 17 your client, and then what else? 18 MR. SEPP: Oh, as to Counts 9 and 10. 19 THE COURT: Okay. And if a general mere presence 20 instruction is present as to Mr. Arcila only, as to Counts 9 21

instruction is present as to Mr. Arcila only, as to Counts 9
and 10, do you need anything more than that?

MS. BOLSTAD: No, but I think it does raise an

22

23

24

25

evidentiary issue of if that is the defense about Counts 9 and 10, that he was just in the vehicle and didn't know what was going on, there is a concern I have about whether that opens

the door to this picture of Mr. Arcila with guns, holding what looks to be a big quantity of drugs at the home.

It's not --

THE COURT: But that would be a rebuttal case issue.

MS. BOLSTAD: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. We'll wait until then.

MR. SEPP: Okay.

THE COURT: Okay. So what I will do is give you another draft, hopefully by the end of the day, that corrects typos and some things and makes these two topical changes, and puts mere presence in context only with Mr. Arcila. And then we'll have a more deliberate discussion about jury instructions generally.

But I want to be sure I'm understanding one more thing about the cooperating witnesses and the exposures they were facing before they made their agreements with the Government.

It would help me, Ms. Bolstad, if you would summarize what the exposures each was facing before making a deal with the Government and what the Government has agreed to recommend. If I'm remembering correctly, none of them has a (c)(1)(C) protection. All of them still face a risk of a reasonable sentence as determined by the Court, but the Government has promised certain things.

So tell me what the exposure was before, for each,

1 please, and what the recommendations will be now.

MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor.

The exposure for all three cooperators is a 20-year minimum. All three were charged from the get-go with the same crime these defendants face.

THE COURT: And did any of them have a prior drug offense that qualified for a potential life mandatory minimum?

MS. BOLSTAD: I'm glad you asked.

There's two ways of answering the question: The reality of common practice way and the strict reading of the law.

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BOLSTAD: Now, let's start with Mr. Baker. At the time of this crime, Mr. Baker had very old convictions for felony possession that wouldn't score any points and don't score any points in his PSR.

Actually, all three of these defendants, at the time of this offense, had prior felony possession of a controlled substance offenses. Under a technical reading of the statute, the Government could charge each of those defendants with a mandatory life crime.

THE COURT: Let me stop you.

Does either defendant intend to introduce that technical hypothetical exposure in front of the jury, to test the bias and interest of any of the cooperators?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Not just that they were facing a mandatory 20, but potentially qualify for a mandatory life; in theory, but not in practice in this district.

MS. BOLSTAD: Never been done in this district.

THE COURT: Because there's reference to that in the jury instruction, I want to take it out if it's not going to come up. But I need to know if either of you are going to -you would need an evidentiary basis.

You would need to be able to show that the witness not only knew they were facing a mandatory 20-year minimum, but there was a technical exposure for up to a mandatory life, you know. You would need to know that that witness actually was told that, considered that, considered that the risk, as opposed to the 20-year piece.

If none of you have any basis for that, I think it's problematic to throw that into the mix with the jury because, if there's not a factual basis that it actually affected the witness, that the witness was told that by a lawyer or by the prosecutor, it -- it's going to be hard enough for the jury to understand what really was on the mind of the witness when the witness decided to -- to plead.

So let me just leave it at this. Before opening statements, we'll talk again. I want a position from each of you then -- each of the defense counsel -- as to whether you feel the need to make an argument that there was actually a --

21 Colloguy this factor mattered in the calculus of those witnesses. 1 2 So we'll set that aside for a moment. 3 Continue, Ms. Boyer -- Ms. Bolstad. 4 MS. BOLSTAD: So the Government agrees with that. Ι 5 mean, technically --6 THE COURT: So your premise is they were each facing 7 a 20-year mandatory minimum only, and that the mandatory life 8 was not. 9 MS. BOLSTAD: It's not the reality, and it's not what 10 these witnesses were told. They have never heard of life. And 11 if they hear it in trial, that would be very surprising to 12 them. 13 THE COURT: All right. 14 And now what are they getting from the Government? 15 MS. BOLSTAD: Right. So starting at the lowest 16 level, Ms. Godvin pled to Count 3, which is a heroin 17 distribution count exceeding five -- I'm sorry, exceeding 100 18 That creates a five-year mandatory minimum. The grams. 19 Government intends to recommend 60 months in her case. 20 THE COURT: The minimum? MS. BOLSTAD: The minimum, but that also comes -- we 21 22 get to that minimum through 5K. So it's possible for her to 23 get, I think, any number of sentences. 24 THE COURT: Because the resulting in death is a -- is

25

part of relevant conduct, anyway?

Colloguy MS. BOLSTAD: Correct. She starts at 38. 1 2 THE COURT: I don't know if the jury needs to know 3 that. I'm not telling you can or can't. I'm just trying to figure out the bottom line. 4 Your recommendation, then -- your promise is that 5 you'll recommend a five-year mandatory minimum for her? 6 7 Okay. 8 MS. BOLSTAD: That is correct. 9 And I need to check the plea. And I'm sorry I don't 10 have that in front of me, but I think that she's agreeing to 11 also recommend 60. 12 THE COURT: Okay. Go on. I don't need any more details than that. Just that -- Mr. Baker? 13 14 MS. BOLSTAD: Mr. Baker -- so he's the highest of the 15 three. 16 Mr. Baker has pled out to Count 1, distribution of 17 heroin resulting in death. The Government has agreed to drop 18 the 20-year minimum because of his cooperation, and the 19 Government is recommending a low-end sentence. Guideline-wise, 20 low-end is at 151, so twelve and a half years. 21

THE COURT: Okay.

MS. BOLSTAD: Finally, Mr. Rosa.

THE COURT: Yes.

22

23

24

25

MS. BOLSTAD: He has pled out to, I believe, Count 1, distribution resulting in death. The Government has agreed to

1 drop the 20-year minimum.

And, your Honor, I need to look to see what count he pled to, but I know it's drop the 20. And the Government will be recommending 87 months in his case.

THE COURT: All right. So, Counsel, my perspective on all of this is that defendants absolutely have the right fully to explore the motivation of each of the cooperating witnesses to testify, and the stakes that were high for them, the risks they were facing when they chose to make the deal or not. I do not want any suggestion to the jury that either or both of the defendants had a similar opportunity. That must not come out to -- in this trial.

These juror -- these defendants are presumed innocent. What they chose to do pretrial is their business, and it's as between you and them and the Court. It is not relevant in this case, and I'm not going to let this jury move beyond what the witnesses were facing to an inference of what each of the defendants is facing.

That is not appropriate. Not to guess or speculate about whether these defendants had a similar opportunity and chose to decline and whether these defendants are facing — they'll be able to figure out they're facing 20-year mandatory minimums, given the charge. But they'll also know there are exceptions, but we're not going to go there unless I absolutely have to give an instruction to clarify. So you need to stay

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 24 of 137 24 Colloguy away from that, any implication about what sentence the defendants are facing if they're found guilty. That is not a matter for the jury. Is that clear? Everybody? Ms. Bolstad? MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: Mr. Andersen? MR. ANDERSEN: Yes. MR. SEPP: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: That said, defense counsel are free to explore what the witnesses knew and what factors they considered when they decided to accept the Government's plea offer. And I want somebody to make clear -- and if it isn't

made clear in the evidence itself, I will tell the jury -- that the plea agreements are between the witness and the Government. And the -- in the end, it still is the Court's decision.

There has to be some integrity to this process, so that the jury understands the final decision is left up to the Court, although the point is the Court wouldn't have the power to sentence below 20 or the authority to do so if the Government didn't open the door.

And that's why I was trying to phrase it that way in that -- my first or second effort to describe that. But I'll do more writing on that point.

So that -- I'm not going to step in if you show it, 1 2 but if you do or there's any question about it, I'll give the 3 jury a sua sponte instruction. Okay. MR. ANDERSEN: And, your Honor, and would we be 4 5 permitted to go through -- I'm assuming we would, but I would like some clarification -- the cooperation agreement letter 6 itself with --7 8 THE COURT: Yes. 9 Yes. It's a fact -- okay. 10 Let's turn to voir dire. 11 I want to be sure I have a complete witness list. 12 (Pause, Court and clerk conferring.) 13 THE COURT: We only have 38 jurors who have reported, 14 so we'll do what we have to do. 15 I have the Government's witness list, which has on it 16 21 names. 17 I have Mr. Arcila's witness list that has two names. 18 I don't have a list, Mr. Andersen, from you. 19 Are there any other names that I need to review? 20 MR. ANDERSEN: No. 21 THE COURT: All right. 22 Ms. Boyer, do you have any -- Ms. Bolstad -- I don't 23 know why I'm calling you Ms. Boyer this morning. It's the B 24 thing.

Ms. Bolstad, do you have any other names to add to

26 Colloguy the list, beyond what's combined between you and Mr. Sepp? 1 2 MS. BOLSTAD: Your Honor, I would just like to 3 clarify that my list has been updated. It was from the joint report I filed last week. So total, I have 19, without 4 5 Ms. Sanchez anymore. 6 THE COURT: All right. The fact that I'm reading 7 names, though, doesn't mean they're going to be called. 8 They're just names the jurors should know. 9 So I don't have that report in front of me. Let me 10 hand you the list I was looking at, which was your October 19 11 filing. Would you strike from there the names you don't think 12 I need to review. 13 And because of the parties' stipulation that heroin 14 use was the but-for cause of Mr. Delong's death, I assume there 15 aren't going to be any expert witnesses testifying on 16 causation. Is that right? 17 MS. BOLSTAD: No, your Honor. 18 THE COURT: There are? 19 MS. BOLSTAD: There are, because the witness -- it's 20 not just about causation -- it's about --21 THE COURT: Your witness list was described as a fact 22 witness list, and that's why I read it somewhere. 23 Is there an expert on -- never mind, then. 24 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, there's an expert witness list.

THE COURT: Just cross off the names that you don't

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 27 of 137 27 Colloquy 1 want me to read to the jury. 2 MS. BOLSTAD: Okay. 3 THE COURT: All right? Because I'm going do that pretty guickly. 4 Now, we're going to call for the jurors. 5 6 Is there any review you need me to do with the process we reviewed late in the day on Friday? 7 8 Counsel? 9 MR. ANDERSEN: Your Honor, this might be premature, 10 but I just want to briefly mention -- or move that any 11 statements purportedly made by Mr. Sandoval's wife also not be 12 referred to in any way. I'm assuming that that's --13 THE COURT: Well, I don't know what the nature of the 14 agreement is among you, as to why you're not calling her, but 15 let's make it plain. Does anyone intend to offer evidence about what the defendant's wife said to anybody? 16 17 MR. SEPP: Defense -- I don't, your Honor. 18 MS. BOLSTAD: No, your Honor. 19 Ms. Imelda Sanchez-Olivera asserted the marital 20 privilege. Mr. Audet showed me proof of that marriage, and so 21 there is really no way around that. 22 THE COURT: So your witnesses who interviewed her are

THE COURT: So your witnesses who interviewed her are not going to talk about what she said, but that they spoke with her, that they found the phone, that they found the packets.

MS. BOLSTAD: Correct.

23

24

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 28 of 137 28 Colloguy THE COURT: All of that. 1 Okay. Very fine. 2 3 Ms. Boyer, now I do mean to speak to you. Would you please get the jurors. 4 5 All right. When they come in the room, the first juror, as I said last week, will be in the back row, No. 1. 6 7 1 through 8, 9 through 16. 8 And then the following jurors will be seated in the 9 front row, ladies, where you're seating -- seated, so you're 10 going to need to move. Everybody needs to move to the very 11 back rows, who's observing, until we have jurors situated. 12 You can move your cart in ahead of the bar, if you 13 would like. 14 DETECTIVE SOMMER: Thank you. 15 THE COURT: Mr. Arcila, how are you feeling today? Any better than Friday? 16 17 DEFENDANT ARCILLA: A little bit. 18 THE COURT: A little bit? 19

All right. If at any point a break is needed, counsel need to tell me. I'm going to try to go as long as I can, to a logical point, before we take a break, because obviously it will be a time-consuming event to take any break with 38 jurors and everyone else in the room. Get my attention, if a break is needed for any reason.

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. BOLSTAD: Judge, a revised witness list. I can

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 29 of 137 29 Colloquy say them out loud, if that's not --1 2 THE COURT: Well, so this is different than -- you 3 re-summarized. I can do this. 4 Thank you. MS. BOLSTAD: And, your Honor, are we on the record 5 or off? 6 7 THE COURT: We are, unless you don't want to be. 8 MS. BOLSTAD: I'm so sorry. I do have revised 9 exhibit lists that we requested. We sent one to Ms. Boyer and 10 I have copies for counsel. Would your Honor like a copy? 11 THE COURT: Yes, please. 12 MS. BOLSTAD: And in those lists we included the old 13 exhibit number on the right column, so that people can track 14 what it used to be. 15 THE COURT: Okay. Okay. (Pause, handed document.) 16 (Prospective jurors enter.) 17 (Jury selection was reported and not requested to be 18 transcribed.)

THE COURT: Everything went according to expectation. The other jurors have been excused. A couple of the jurors were waiting outside here and didn't have access to a bag with a cell phone. So one of them is texting, now, the office, to say she's not coming, or home, or whatever.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As soon as that's complete, Ms. Boyer will bring them in. I will swear them. I will give them some basic -- very

30 Colloguy basic instructions because I don't want to take too much more 1 2 time, and we'll go to opening statements. 3 Have you reviewed all of the materials you're showing to the jury in opening statement with counsel? 4 5 MS. BOLSTAD: Yes, Counsel is aware that -- of the admitted exhibits, the photographs, et cetera, I might be 6 7 showing in opening. I've also shared with them two diagrams 8 that they agreed to, both this morning and last night. One is 9 a map and one is the link chart of who's involved. 10 THE COURT: About how long do you expect your opening 11 statement will take? 12 MS. BOLSTAD: Could be half an hour. 13 THE COURT: Okay. We may take a recess after 14 Ms. Bolstad's opening statement, in light of the time of day, 15 and then we'll proceed in order of the Indictment. 16 So, Counsel, you'll go next. And about how long are 17 you expecting? 18 MR. ANDERSEN: I don't expect my opening will be very 19 long at all, your Honor. I expect in the neighborhood of less 20 than ten minutes. MR. SEPP: Same, five to ten minutes, your Honor. 21 22 THE COURT: Very well. 23

MS. BOLSTAD: And just for witness preparation, your Honor, just to let you know for planning purposes, I have Mr. Kilty as my first witness. I don't anticipate his going

24

Preliminary Jury Instructions beyond 15 or 20 minutes. 1 Deputy Medical Examiner Lovato. Maybe another 20 to 2 3 30 minutes. And then I'm going to skip to Ms. Short, from OSP, 4 another 20-minute witness. 5 THE COURT: All right. Ms. Boyer, please bring in 6 7 the jury. Normally, ladies and gentlemen, we'll be rising every 8 9 time the jury comes into the room, but not this time because 10 they're going to be walking in and I don't want to interfere 11 with their movement. 12 (Jurors enter, 2:12 p.m.) THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, would you all 13 14 please stand. Raise your right hands to be sworn as our jury, 15 in this case. (Presiding jurors sworn.) 16 17 THE COURT: Please say "yes" or "I do." 18 THE JURORS: I do. 19 THE COURT: Thank you. Please be seated. 20 Jurors, thank you for your patience and working with us this morning. You are now the jury that will try this case. 21 22 You may notice there are 13 of you. 23 Mr. Dahl, you're our insurance policy, as the alternate juror, No. 13. You are every bit as important as the 24

other jurors because, in the event something happened and one

Preliminary Jury Instructions

juror wasn't able to complete his or her duty, you would be pressed into completing the process.

So you really are a jury of 13. If it turns out by the end of the case we still have 13, then you'll be separated from the other jurors. You won't be part of the deliberation. But if we're down to 12, you'll be part of it.

So you are very much part of this, but an important insurance policy. You've seen how much effort just went in to picking a jury, and we have many witnesses coming.

I -- I've given you a lot of general orientation.

I'm not going to take a lot of your time right now because of the time of day. I would like to get right to the opening statements by the lawyers.

I want to make a couple of points, though, about scheduling.

Today we'll go until about 4:45. 4:30, 4:45, a logical break in the testimony. That will be the normal adjourning time, so you should plan for that for the rest of the week.

Tomorrow, the courtroom -- tomorrow, we will have you in the courtroom ready to go at nine o'clock. You're free to be here from eight o'clock on. Any -- earlier than 9:00.

But you won't be going down to the main jury room.

Ms. Boyer, at the next recess, will show you how to access a jury room behind this wall. That will be your jury room, and

Preliminary Jury Instructions

that will be where you'll be whenever you're not in the courtroom here during trial. Or you'll be in the hallway behind us here, which is secure space; meaning none of the parties, none of the witnesses, none of the lawyers will be there.

But you'll be free to, you know, walk about.

Windows, the like. Restrooms. And at some point we'll get you up to the 16th floor balcony, where there is a beautiful view, and get you some fresh air, too.

Ms. Boyer will also give you a button to wear that says "juror." I need you to wear that all the time you're in the building because, as a few of the jurors just noticed when we rode up together, a lawyer steps on the elevator. And you want to be sure that you're labeled so that people don't happen to talk about the case around you. Again, everything is focused on trying to keep your decision process limited to the evidence presented here in front of everyone.

I've told you every way I know how, but I'll be continuing to say it. You cannot talk about the case with one another or anyone else. You cannot communicate in any way:

Speaking, texting, e-mailing, legal research about the case in any way with anyone until your work is over.

When it's over, you're free to do whatever you want by way of research and to communicate with whomever you want about the case, except I always remind jurors that what is said

Preliminary Jury Instructions

in the jury room when you deliberate, when you decide your verdict, that ought to be private among the 12 of you. And you can talk about your own opinions to other people, but you shouldn't share what others say from their perspective. That's their private discussion with you as a group of 12, if you understand what I'm saying.

So I told you a little bit about what is evidence. It's the testimony of witnesses. You're going to hear some this afternoon.

It's the exhibits; documents, and things that are received in evidence. You'll see some of that this afternoon.

It's any agreed fact that I or the parties point out to you.

Your decision about what the facts are has to be based on evidence.

What is not evidence: What is not evidence is what the lawyers say. They are officers of the court, and they are advocates for their clients. They will do their best to be helpful to you, to explain what they think the evidence shows or does not show, to alert you to things they want you to watch out for or to listen for.

If they say things that turn out to be different from how the witnesses testify, you're to rely on your memory of what the witnesses say directly, not how the lawyers say the witness said it.

Preliminary Jury Instructions

What the lawyers say is not evidence. It's intended to help you. But the evidence comes from the witness stand or the documents or the things.

So they'll be asking questions of the witness. The question isn't evidence, but you obviously have to listen to it and understand it to get what the witnesses's answer is, right?

So what they say is not evidence.

What you see and hear when court is not in session, even if it involves people here in the courtroom, is not evidence. If I tell you at any point during the trial, "Disregard that," or if I sustain an objection, that means disregard that. And the point is to make clear to you what's out of the case. When I tell you something's out, then you can't consider it when you deliberate. I'll try hard to be sure it's clear what's in, so you know what you can consider.

Don't concern yourself with why a lawyer makes an objection or why I rule as I do. If I stopped to explain the basis and the ruling, you would be here a lot longer than the days we've projected. Just trust me to -- accept the ruling, please, and then move on.

If it's in, it's in like everything else. If it's out, you may not consider it. All right?

You've been given notepads. You're free to take notes if you want. You don't have to take notes.

At the end of the trial I will give each of you a

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

complete written set of instructions, so you'll have all of the law and the specific legal charges in front of you. For now, I want to remind you there are four charges being considered.

The first two involve Mr. Sandoval-Ramos and Mr. Arcila. The last two involve only Mr. Arcila.

The prosecutor will explain those again in her opening statement in a few minutes.

So you will have two verdict forms at the end of the case: One for Mr. Sandoval-Ramos, one for Mr. Arcila. I make two forms because these are two different individuals. Each has charges against him. Each charge is to be considered separately from the other.

And the idea of having two verdicts is just to remind you these are two people, each with separate cases and separate — the right to this continued presumption of innocence. They are not guilty unless and until the Government proves them guilty beyond any reasonable doubt. They have the right to this trial, the right to confront the witnesses, the right not to have to prove they are innocent.

And so that's how we start now. This is the Government's opportunity to put that proof before you.

Ms. Bolstad, then, will address you on behalf of the Government. Please give her your attention.

Counsel.

MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

May it please the Court, Counsel, ladies and gentlemen of the jury.

THE COURT: Please stand near the microphone. I'm sorry, I need to be able to hear you.

MS. BOLSTAD: Is it okay to move the microphone, your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes, as long as you stay near it.

MS. BOLSTAD: Ladies and gentlemen, my name is Leah Bolstad. I represent the United States.

Before I get -- get into all of the facts, I want to make introductions.

With me at counsel table is Detective Sommer

Andersen. She is the lead investigator in this case. She'll
be presenting you some testimony and evidence.

Also with me is Ms. Susan Cooke. She's with our litigation support team. She will help me show you the exhibits in this trial on those screens in front of you and, on the witness stand, the screen for the witness.

Finally, Elissa Goloborodko. As I told you before, she is a law student. She'll be helping me with this trial.

On Saturday, March 29th, 2014, 25-year-old Dustin Kilty woke up late. It was a Saturday. He woke up late, as most 25-year-olds are known to do. He went to the kitchen to make breakfast, to share that breakfast with his roommates.

He lived in an apartment with two other young men.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

One of those roommates went away for the weekend. He wasn't there. The other roommate was Justin Delong.

And I say "was" Justin Delong because Justin Delong died on the morning of March 29th when Mr. Kilty had woken up to make breakfast. Mr. Kilty called for his roommate to wake up. Didn't hear anything in response.

Mr. Kilty went into his roommate's room, his good friend's, and found Justin Delong, age 26, dead on the floor. He went to him, and Mr. Delong's body was cold and stiff. He was clearly no longer with us.

Mr. Kilty immediately called 9-1-1. Emergency responders showed up at the scene of that -- of that location they shared, that house in Aloha, Oregon. There was nothing that they could do. Mr. Delong had already died.

Investigators also showed up to the scene, immediately. These investigators are trained to look at heroin overdose cases and treat those scenes like homicides. That means they devote resources to investigating where the drugs came from that resulted in this young man's death.

Detective Andersen and her partner, Detective McNair, worked for this Washington County sheriff's interagency narcotics team. It's a task force.

And what they did was they immediately started working up the chain of distribution. All right?

The first thing they need to determine is who

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

distributed these drugs to Mr. Delong. And the key piece of evidence that they look for in any heroin overdose case is the cellular telephone. It's the key piece of evidence.

So they picked up Mr. Delong -- this young dead man. They picked up his cell phone and they assumed his identity.

And they looked in that phone for recent -- any recent evidence of who distributed the drugs. Who was he in touch with?

And immediately they were able to identify Morgan Godvin as the person from whom Mr. Delong obtained heroin the night before.

So this death was on a Saturday morning. The text message is from Friday night, to paint the picture. Mr. Delong needed heroin.

You'll hear evidence in this case through testimony that Mr. Delong had struggled to get off of his heroin addiction. He had spent several months clean. He had a job. He worked with his roommate, Mr. Kilty. They were roofers.

But you will hear about the pull of this drug and how desperate an addict is to get it when that need hits you. So Mr. Delong reached out to his friend Morgan on a Friday night.

And he asked her, can he get a gram, a single gram from her.

Ms. Godvin, who shared an apartment in Southeast Portland with two other two young men, she agreed.

Mr. Delong came over to her house, picked up a gram of heroin for \$80, went back to his home, used it. And his

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

respiratory system shut down and he died.

So in this case you're going to hear about the chain that led to that death. And I would like to summarize it for you now.

It starts -- well, this is the end of the chain, okay. Ladies and gentlemen, these are two of the men who are on trial: Fabian Sandoval-Ramos, who's sitting here. And Raul Arcila, sitting behind me with his attorney.

Mr. Delong's death on Saturday, I've already told you he obtained that heroin from Ms. Godvin. Ms. Godvin will testify in this trial. She will tell you about what happened.

Ms. Godvin purchased her heroin from Michael Rosa.

That's one of her roommates. You will hear from Mr. Rosa in this trial. He will testify.

 $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Rosa purchases his heroin from $\operatorname{Mr.}$ Shane Baker.

You will hear Mr. Shane Baker testify in this trial.

All of these individuals -- Mr. Baker, Mr. Rosa, and Ms. Godvin -- will admit to you that they are heroin addicts.

And they were definitely heroin addicts at the time this all happened. They will admit to you that they sold heroin, in

You will hear evidence from Mr. Baker about where he obtained his heroin.

part, to feed their own addiction.

And at this stage, ladies and gentlemen, I want to introduce you to you a concept that you're going to hear about

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

41

And if any of you have ever ordered a pizza, you're in trial. going to know what I'm talking about.

This is a dispatch drug trafficking organization. There is a dispatch phone with a 442 area code. That's what the customers call. And just like Domino's, Pizza Hut, there are a lot of people out there who want heroin. They call a dispatch phone that's not even in Oregon, ladies and gentlemen. It's at a call center. It's -- it's not in this district.

And when Shane Baker would place his orders for heroin, like calling Pizza Hut, the person that he calls is not the guy who delivers the heroin. The guy he calls is a dispatcher who sends runners out into the field to deliver these goods. And so when Mr. Baker would place these calls, runners would show up.

And the phones tell the story.

Mexican Bobby, the phone identified with the 442 number, that identification comes from Mr. Baker's phone. was his code, almost like if you saved Pizza Hut in your code -- in your phone, you might call it Pizza Hut. But the person that you call, who answers the phone at Pizza Hut, doesn't always tell you exactly who they are.

That's exactly what happened here. Shane Baker placed his calls for heroin. The dispatch phone would then call people in Oregon, especially Placido Ramirez-Coronel.

Mr. Coronel and his partner, Mr. Arcila, would then show up to

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

deliver the heroin. And that's a pattern that happened again and again, in this case.

You'll also hear evidence at the end of this case that connects Fabian Sandoval-Ramos to this dispatch organization through the phone tolls, but also through surveillance observations here in Oregon linking Mr. Fabian Sandoval-Ramos with the people who delivered the drugs.

And at this stage, ladies and gentlemen, it's important to introduce you to this idea of two locations.

You're going to hear a lot in this case about two locations.

One location -- we'll call it location 1 -- is where Mr. Arcila lived. It's basically a stash house. It is a location devoted to the manufacture and the packaging of heroin for distribution in this community. Mr. Arcila lived at that location with Mr. Placido Ramirez-Coronel.

Location No. 2 was occupied by Mr. Fabian

Sandoval-Ramos. It is not a drug stash house. It is his

family home. Fabian Sandoval-Ramos lived there with his wife
and his children.

But what's interesting about these two locations is what links them. You will hear evidence that Fabian

Sandoval-Ramos, he does not live at location 1, but he's the power subscriber. He pays the power bill there.

And when those two young men, Mr. Arcila and Mr. Placido Ramirez-Coronel -- you'll hear evidence that, when

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

they go to deliver the drugs, they do so in a vehicle that is registered in the name Fabian Sandoval-Ramos. You'll hear evidence that that vehicle, registered in his name (pointing), had a trap compartment.

And you'll hear a lot of evidence from drug officers, narcotics experts, who will tell you about these aftermarket additions placed in vehicles. Secret compartments to hide and store drugs while they're delivered throughout the community.

This particular car had a trap in the front passenger airbag area, in a hollowed-out space where there should have been an airbag. Instead, it was filled with -- at times, 13 ounces of heroin, which is nearly one pound.

Based on the investigation that you'll be presented with in this case, as Judge Brown told you, there will be four charges that you will need to decide:

No. 1, conspiracy to distribute heroin resulting in death. Both defendants are charged in that conspiracy.

No. 2, conspiracy to distribute heroin in an amount exceeding 1,000 grams. 1,000 grams is a kilo of heroin.

For your reference, this is less than 1 gram of heroin (indicating). It's almost the size of a tip of a pencil. This less-than-a-gram, there's over a thousand of these in a single kilogram.

This is what was found at Mr. Delong's residence.

This is what remained of the 1 gram of heroin that he had. And

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

you will hear evidence that a single dose of heroin like this can kill you.

And there are a thousand of those doses, or more. There's many thousands of those doses within a kilogram of heroin.

Two conspiracy counts.

Count 3 and 4 in this case, which are actually labeled Counts 9 and 10, those counts only involve the defendant Raul Arcila. Those are not conspiracy counts over a long period of time. They're from a single day in time.

So first you'll hear evidence about March 31st, for Count 9. That's when Mr. Arcila showed up in the red Honda Passport, registered in the name of Fabian Sandoval-Ramos, and delivered 8 ounces of heroin to Shane Baker.

That event was monitored by the police. They set it up. They obtained the heroin afterwards. They tested the heroin, and they recorded the buy.

Count 10 on Mr. Arcila takes place two days later, on April 2nd. After he had delivered 8 ounces to Mr. Baker on the 31st, he again delivered what he thought was going to be another 8 ounces to Mr. Baker on April 2nd. But instead of Mr. Baker showing up, the police met Mr. Arcila at the buy location. And they arrested Mr. Arcila and the driver of the vehicle, Mr. Ramirez-Coronel. They had 13 ounces of heroin in the vehicle that time.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

It wasn't the same vehicle. It was a different Honda vehicle. This one was not registered in the name of Fabian Sandoval-Ramos, but it was registered to his address at location No. 2.

It, too, had a trap compartment. That's -- it was right in front of the front passenger seat. 13 ounces of heroin on this occasion.

The 13 ounces consisted of the 8 ounces that he thought he was going to deliver to Mr. Baker, plus another other 5 -- another 5 ounces for a customer we don't even know.

I told you about the charges. What I want to cover with you now is about things that are not big issues. Okay?

Because I want you to be able to focus your attention in this case on what is the issue; what is it that you are going to be called upon to figure out.

So let's start with the easy stuff. What are things that there's not a dispute about?

There's three.

First, I do not anticipate that there is going to be a dispute in this case about whether the substances seized were in fact heroin. Okay?

The parties have agreed -- we have an agreement that the lab tested all of these seized drugs and the lab confirmed the presence of heroin. We're not even going to call chemists to testify to that because everybody agrees to that fact.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

The second thing that there's really no dispute about here is about the quantities involved. Right?

You've got a vehicle showing up with 8 ounces one day, 13 ounces two days later. There's no dispute that that is over 100 grams of heroin. Okay?

It's up to 200 grams. When he delivers 8 ounces, that's 200 grams. So there's really no dispute about that quantity question as to Mr. Arcila's counts.

The third thing that is not in dispute, and you heard a little bit about it this morning, is the cause of death. The parties have stipulated that this victim died as a result of a heroin overdose. We've agreed that, if Mr. Delong had not used heroin, he would not have died.

And so then what is at issue? What are you called upon in this trial to decide? What facts do you need to be on the lookout for?

Two huge issues.

No. 1, did these two defendants -- did these two participate in a conspiracy to distribute heroin?

That's the first thing. Did they have an agreement to go and sell drugs, make that happen?

The second huge issue is did the heroin that killed Mr. Delong -- we all agree it killed him. Did he get that heroin from this conspiracy?

And so the evidence that you'll be presented with in

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

this trial is really to address those two things.

You're going to hear a lot of evidence of this low level of the chain. And the reason you're going to hear about the chain, the chain, the chain (snapping fingers), is because the Government has to prove that the heroin that killed Mr. Delong came from this conspiracy. And so we have to build that for you from the ground up.

And here's how I think of it, in case it helps you.

This trial and the evidence that you're going to hear is like a book. Sometimes it might feel like a book that's too long for you, but there's five basic chapters for that book.

Okay?

And the trial will follow those chapters in chronological order.

Chapter 1. Somebody died.

In that chapter you'll hear from the medical examiner who did an autopsy, determined cause of death. But he also gives you information that you need that goes to the chain.

He'll tell you about how long does it take for heroin to kill somebody.

When do you think that the person ingested the heroin?

Which helps you decide, did this heroin come from this chain?

Chapter 2 of this story will be all about Morgan

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

1 Godvin and Michael Rosa. So when the police posed as

2 Mr. Delong and ordered up more heroin, they learned it was from

3 Morgan Godvin. They determined where Ms. Godvin lived: In

Portland. Southeast 187th. They determined that she lived

5 there with somebody named Michael Rosa.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Detective Andersen went and got a search warrant signed by a judge. I want to search this residence. I think they distribute drugs.

And when the police showed up at that apartment, sure enough, they saw all of the classic signs of drug distribution.

This is the apartment (indicating).

Ms. Godvin is pictured there in the blue shirt.

This is the living area with all of the telltale signs of heroin addiction.

Ms. Godvin, when she testifies, will tell you what she was going through at this time of life.

This is March 2014. Her mother died in December 2013, and Ms. Godvin was in a really bad place. She was using up to 2 and 3 grams of heroin a day. Depressed. Her life was ruined. Jobless. She was in a rough spot.

What she had going for her was the steady supply of heroin from her friend and roommate, Mr. Rosa.

You'll hear evidence in this case that when the police came into this living room and they confronted Morgan Godvin with the fact that her heroin -- the heroin she

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

distributed last night had killed Justin Delong, she was at a crossroads. She knew Justin, Mr. Delong. She felt bad. And she agreed to cooperate and provide information. She told the police, I get my heroin from Michael Rosa. He lives upstairs. Yes, I'm willing to cooperate.

She knew she was in trouble. But as you'll hear her testify, the thing she feared more than being in trouble was going to jail and being without heroin. That was terrifying for her, but she did it.

You'll hear that the police in this apartment seized over 100 grams of heroin, digital scales, multiple digital scales to weigh out the heroin.

They also seized drug records, which are very simple. Sometimes simplistic. They're on pieces of notebook paper.

Amounts, adding up amounts owed and amounts paid. And customers. Just like any business.

You'll hear that when the police were at this location, Mr. Rosa arrived in his car and he parked. And he walked into something he probably wishes he had just kept driving. The police confronted Mr. Rosa. They explained to him what had happened, that someone died using heroin that came from him. Like Ms. Godvin, he accepted responsibility. He told the police what happened.

And when the police asked him if he would be willing to help them work up the chain of distribution to get to the

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

higher level dealers, Mr. Rosa did what was asked of him. He said, I get my heroin from Shane Baker. I get 4 to 8 ounces every few days. Yes, I will help you set up a buy with Mr. Baker.

Not only did he identify Mr. Baker, he said, Shane
Baker is my only source of supply. Which eliminates the idea
that there's other chains at issue. Godvin only got her heroin
from Rosa. Rosa only got his heroin from Shane Baker. And so
then the next natural step for the police is to go to Mr. Shane
Baker's apartment.

But first, they were careful. They were deliberate. They obtained a search warrant, judicial authorization to search Mr. Baker's house. They used Mr. Rosa to make a controlled buy with Mr. Baker.

That means that they recorded a phone call. They watched what happened. They recorded the buy where Mr. Rosa went in and purchased heroin from Mr. Baker. And they took the heroin from Mr. Rosa once he got it.

It was 1 ounce, or a piece, approximately 25 grams of heroin.

And in so doing they were able to confirm -- the police were able to confirm. Now we have this source,

Mr. Baker, who's now three levels up the chain. And all of that work took place in 24 hours. That they were up to this third-level dealer, Mr. Baker, the kind of guy who's delivering

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

8 ounces at a time. That's a half pound of heroin.

And now we're talking serious money. 8 ounces of heroin costs \$6,000. That's what Michael Rosa was buying every few days from Mr. Baker.

So they used Mr. Rosa to make a call. They set that up with Mr. Baker, and they catch Mr. Baker the next -- on March 31st. That's Monday.

They go to Mr. Baker's house with a search warrant.

And when they get there, to that Beaverton apartment, they see all of the signs of a heroin addiction as well as heroin distribution.

They find rubbers, rubber bands used to get veins, get working veins to intravenously inject drugs.

They find drug packaging materials all over. Digital scales, \$4,000 in drug proceeds.

And multiple cell phones. Because, remember, the cell phones. That's how business is accomplished in the drug trafficking world.

They arrested Mr. Baker. Mr. Baker found himself — found himself at a crossroads. They told Mr. Baker what had happened. Someone died using heroin that came from you. That's a very serious thing. You're looking at serious time. Are you willing to cooperate with us so that we can find the higher levels of the chain, so that we can go after people who are above you, bringing in more heroin than what you were

1 dealing?

Mr. Baker agreed. He knows the system. It's not his first time in it. He did what was probably best for him in that scenario. He made a choice.

But the investigators didn't just leave it up to people like Mr. Baker. Right?

These investigations are never about we have to believe him 100 percent. They look for corroboration. They look in Mr. Baker's phone. Who else is his source? Is there any other source of supply?

No. What Mr. Baker reported is that he gets his heroin when he calls this number, this 442 number for Mexican Bobby.

Mr. Baker's never obtained the real, full, legal name from Mexican Bobby. Because, as you'll hear, this is not a business where people exchange business cards. This is not a business where people identify themselves by full legal name because what they're engaged in is crime. Anonymity is important.

So Mr. Baker only knows this person that he calls for drugs as Mexican Bobby.

The police use Mr. Baker to make the call. They record the call. He orders up 8 ounces of heroin from his source. And sure enough, on March 31st the two people that he described showed up with the 8 ounces, and they delivered it to

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

him in the 7-Eleven parking lot out in Milwaukie.

Mr. Baker provided a description because he has met people who deliver the drugs before. And he described the person that he thought was Mexican Bobby in the following way, which becomes important later. He says he's about 30 years old. He has a fat round face. He's — he lives near — he thinks he lives near the location where he delivers the drugs, that 7-Eleven, because they always show up really quickly after I call.

He also says -- so short, round face, fat. He says he drives a red sport utility vehicle.

Sure enough, that vehicle is the one that shows up, delivers the 8 ounces. That vehicle is registered to Fabian Sandoval-Ramos.

Police ask him, Do you have any other source of supply, Mr. Baker? Anyone else giving you heroin right now?

Nope. This organization is reliable and they always have what I need. There's no quantity that I could order that this organization would not have.

So when that red Passport shows up to the 7-Eleven, the police are watching. They are watching very carefully, and they follow that red Passport.

They don't stop it, right? They want to know where is that car going to go, so that they can work up the chain.

They follow the red Honda Passport after it leaves

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

the buy, and it goes to location No. 1. The red Honda Passport goes into the garage, business is closed for the night.

Police get an address, though. 11759 Southeast 64th.

And police can do a lot with an address, as you'll hear.

They looked at the power subscriber for that address, and determined Fabian Sandoval-Ramos was the guy paying the bills.

So they looked up the name Fabian Sandoval-Ramos in DMV records and they came up with a picture. That's from Mr. Fabian Sandoval-Ramos's Washington driver's license. It's Government Exhibit 59.

So what did they do with this picture? Because they have -- they're dealing with a ghost. They don't know who Mexican Bobby is. So they show this picture to Shane Baker. They say, Do you recognize this person?

And Shane Baker says (snapping fingers) that's who -- that's who has delivered. That's the guy. That's Mexican Bobby.

So investigators think they're onto something. It's all adding up to them.

So the whole Shane Baker side of this book, that's all Chapter 3. Shane Baker's cooperating. He's made a controlled buy of drugs. He's led agents to locations and people. That's what he did. Chapter 3.

So Chapter 4 in this book is what the police do next.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

Because now they have two locations that are of interest, so they spend a little time getting their ducks in a row. They get a search warrant for location No. 1 on April 2nd, 2014.

This is all within days of Mr. Delong's overdose. They're working quickly, so that chain is strong.

They do a search warrant at location No. 1 on April 1st, but, before they do, they want to sort of stir the pot. They don't want to just show up and see what's there. They want to make another order for heroin and to see what would happen.

So they have Mr. Baker, who's now in custody, place a call to Mexican Bobby. This is on April 2nd. They say, Order up another 8 ounces.

So Mr. Baker does. An agreement is reached to deliver. Police are watching these locations, right? when this happens.

They watch as a green Honda Civic arrives in the area of location 1 right after that deal gets lined up, right? We need 8 ounces. A car shows up at location 1. It's a green Honda Civic. Three men go into location 1, the stash house. Two men come out of location 1 and get back in the green Civic, and they drive directly to the 7-Eleven.

When they get there, they're a little hinked up.

They don't see Mr. Baker. He's not there. He's in custody.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

This is all a setup.

And Mr. Baker gets calls from Mexican Bobby saying, Where are you? We need you to move locations. Go to the Lowe's or go to the Home Depot. 7-Eleven's not good.

Baker's in custody, so he's sort of out of the loop.

But the police pull that car over before it can go anywhere because they're pretty sure that that car is there to deliver exactly what Baker ordered.

Inside the car -- the police run a dog around the car. The K-9 alerts to the presence of heroin. The police search the car, and inside the glove box is 13 ounces of heroin.

The passenger of that vehicle is Raul Arcila. The driver is Placido.

They ask Raul, What's going on? What's -- what are you here to do?

Mr. Arcila denies really being involved, denies knowing anything about drugs. But then the police ask him a really important question that sometimes, if you have been caught doing something, you think twice about answering.

They say, Okay, you don't know anything about this, but are your fingerprints going to be on the drugs that we found in the glove box?

And he says, Oh, probably (nodding head). My fingerprints are probably going to be on those.

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

So they arrest Raul Arcila. They arrest Placido
Ramirez-Coronel. And they take those two men back to location
1, where they have a search warrant.

What do they find inside location No. 1, ladies and gentlemen?

They find really sparse living quarters.

And you're going to see evidence in trial of the pictures of the inside of this house. Okay? This is not a well-furnished home where people are there for long-term living. There's mattresses on the floor. Hardly any furniture.

And the kitchen seems to be pretty devoted to one thing and one thing only, and that's drug trafficking. The kitchen is full of packaging material. The kitchen cupboards are full of just plastic baggies and sugar, which you'll hear is an ingredient that goes into heroin. It's something that drug traffickers use to take one quantity of heroin, dilute it; it becomes a bigger quantity, more money.

Throughout the kitchen is just drug packaging, drug packaging, drug packaging. There is a heat sealer on the kitchen counter, which is used to seal the bags that the heroin is put into because you'll hear evidence that heroin is a smelly substance. It smells strongly of vinegar. It's something that dogs can alert on quickly (snapping fingers), so you need a heat sealer to seal that product inside a bag that

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

1 nobody can smell.

In this picture, Government's Exhibit 77, you see the cellophane. And there was a lot of rolls of cellophane because what they would do is wrap these bundles over and over and over, in hopes that it would lock in the scent.

In the back of this picture you see a few bags of lactose. Like sugar, it's another thing that's used to dilute drugs. Okay? Makes more product. That means you can sell more and make more money.

Keep those lactose bags in mind. This is all at location 1.

They also find drug records, which we have those (indicating) as a physical exhibit in this trial. You'll be allowed to look at this in the jury room.

They find these ledgers with lists. Lists of numbers of who owes who, what. Okay?

And you'll hear from an expert that it's pretty rare to see any drug ledgers where they write down, like, "heroin," right? Because nobody wants to write that down because, if you get caught, you don't want that in a drug ledger.

Which brings us to Chapter 5.

When the police are searching this home, location 1, they're wondering where's Fabian Sandoval-Ramos? We thought he would be here at location 1. His name's on the power. He's the registered owner of the car that is at this location.

1 Where is he?

Surveillance officers at location 2 saw him. That's when they confirmed Fabian Sandoval-Ramos does not live at location 1. He lives at location 2. They saw

Mr. Sandoval-Ramos going inside and outside that home in the same hours where his other house, the stash house, was being searched by police.

The people who were arrested in the car, the co-defendant, Mr. Arcila, the police took his phone. So there couldn't be any contact between those two who are arrested in the car and Fabian Sandoval-Ramos. And so police saw him going in and out and in and out of his home.

They also saw something interesting. They saw a woman come out of his home and go to the dumpster and throw something out. And the police thought something's going on at location No. 2. They applied for a search warrant. Got their ducks in a row.

And within hours, within hours of starting the search at location 1, they were there at location No. 2 to go inside.

Inside, they find Mr. Fabian Sandoval-Ramos but they don't find a lot of drugs. In fact, they don't find any drugs.

Remember that cell phone issue that I told you about? Let's go back a second.

Mr. Arcila, at the traffic stop, the agent seized his phone. They searched it with his consent. Inside Mr. Arcila's

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

phone, they found text messages about drug dealing. They found references within the past three days in his phone, to pounds and halves and prices. Somewhat coded language, but you'll hear about those text messages.

When they get to Fabian Sandoval-Ramos's house, though, what do you think the police are there to look for? Cell phones. They didn't find a cell phone involved in drug dealing inside, but they did go look in the dumpster.

You'll see Government Exhibit 115 in this trial.

They found a cracked, broken phone in the dumpster. It was sort of near something else in the dumpster; bags of unopened lactose that matched identically what was found at location No. 1, the stash house where all of the drugs were packaged and mixed and distributed.

They asked Mr. Sandoval-Ramos about this. What's -- why did you put this in the dumpster? What happened to your phone?

And he said, My phone broke. It broke this evening between 5:00 and 6:00 p.m. Which happens to be exactly when the police were arresting his coworkers. It's exactly when the police were executing the search warrant at location 1. And that's exactly when Mr. Sandoval-Ramos, you'll hear, had his phone break. So he threw it in the dumpster with the lactose and nothing else.

Finally, ladies and gentlemen, once we get to the end

Opening Statement - by Ms. Bolstad

there, of Chapter 5, what -- what the police did in this case is they asked a DEA fingerprint analyst to take a look at the drug records that were seized at location 1. These ledgers that keep track of who owes who what.

And the DEA fingerprint analyst carefully examined each page, and he found several fingerprints. In fact, all three of the defendants involved in March 31st and April 2nd, all three of their fingerprints were found in this book:

Fabian Sandoval-Ramos; Raul Arcila; and the driver of the vehicle, Placido Ramirez-Coronel. All three of their fingerprints were in a book that only has drug records in it.

That's the summary of the evidence that you will hear in this case.

The case is going to move quickly. I have my witnesses ready to go. We're not going to waste your time.

And I know it's a lot of evidence to hear about, but trust me, it involves building a chain from the ground up. It requires detail from the bottom of that chain to the top.

We're going to go through it chapter-by-chapter, not going to waste your time. At the end of the case, after the presentation of all of the evidence, which consists of witness testimony and physical exhibits and photographs, I will come back to you and I will ask you to find both defendants guilty of participating in a conspiracy to distribute heroin, guilty that that conspiracy to distribute heroin resulted in the death

of a 26-year-old in Aloha, Oregon, on March 29th, 2014.

Thank you in advance for your attention.

THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Bolstad.

Jurors, we'll take a 15-minute recess and give you a chance to stretch your legs, use the facilities, and the like. Contact home or office, if you need to.

Remember, you may tell them you've been seated on a criminal case. The judge has ordered you not to talk about it. If they push you, you tell them I've also ordered you to tell me about it. It's very serious that you don't let anyone ask you.

And this is why: The minute you say you're on a drug case, someone will say, Oh, I know about something. I'm trying to ensure that you're not put in that situation.

During our recesses through the trial, I'm going to ask you to please leave your notes on the chair here so they'll be here when you get back.

We'll take just 15 minutes. Ms. Boyer will also give you some information about how to get into this floor and secure space from the main hallway when you come in tomorrow morning.

So that's all the instructions for now.

Thank you, everyone, for your attention.

Ladies and gentlemen, please rise for the jury.

15 minutes, please, Ms. Boyer.

63

Watch your step. Take your coats with you, folks. 1 2 You'll have a place to leave them in the jury room; and your 3 bags, and so forth. It will be secure back there. (Jurors exit, 3:06 p.m.) 4 5 Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. THE COURT: Does the Government have anything for the record at 6 7 the beginning of this recess? 8 MS. BOLSTAD: No, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: Counsel, either of you? 10 MR. ANDERSEN: No. 11 MR. SEPP: No, your Honor. 12 THE COURT: All right. Then we are in recess. 13 Defendants may use the facilities, and the marshals will 14 accommodate them. 15 Thank you, everyone. We're off the record. 16 (Recess taken, 3:07 p.m. to 3:25 p.m.) 17 Thank you, everyone. Please be seated. THE COURT: 18 Counsel. 19 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 20 Leah Bolstad. 21 Just one -- actually, two issues I have for the Court 22 was, No. 1, the Government has no objection to the audio 23 equipment in the courtroom for the audience members who might 24 need it. I think that was requested by Mr. Andersen. 25 THE COURT: Yes. During the recess Ms. Boyer

indicated, Mr. Andersen, that you had requested on behalf of your client's wife, that she be permitted to use headphones to pick up the translations as they're going on during court.

We're happy to provide that as long as we continue to have enough equipment available.

The primary concern is that your client is receiving the transmissions. If we run out of batteries or things, we won't be able to accommodate that.

But I appreciate the Government noting on the record no objection.

Your next point.

MS. BOLSTAD: Next point, your Honor, is this afternoon I intend to call a civilian witness named Timothy Goshorn, who is on the list, and I want the Court to be aware before I ask him questions.

He does not have an agreement with the Government, but he is going to be talking about his participation in drug distribution. He's not worried about it.

THE COURT: Does he have a lawyer?

MS. BOLSTAD: Not with him.

He's here with his father and his son. He is -- he was a heroin addict. He's been clean for one year.

I told him, I can't promise you anything on the state side, and we don't have a deal --

THE COURT: I'm going to have to talk to him in

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 65 of 137 65 Colloquy advance, on the record, to ensure he knows he has a right not 1 2 to answer your questions and to incriminate himself. And that 3 has to be outside the presence of the jury. Do you want me to do that now? 4 5 MS. BOLSTAD: If that works for you. I've had that conversation with him, but I understand --6 7 THE COURT: It needs to be on a public record, in the 8 event he later is prosecuted and challenges this. 9 So, yes, bring him in. 10 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you. 11 (Pause.) 12 THE COURT: Sir, would you come forward here, please, to the witness chair. 13 14 Just go ahead and take a seat. 15 Good afternoon. 16 MR. GOSHORN: Good afternoon. 17 THE COURT: Go ahead and get close to the microphone. 18 I don't need to place you under oath right now, but I do need 19 to ask you some questions and be sure you understand what might 20 be happening this afternoon. Would you tell me please, first, your full name. 21

MR. GOSHORN: Timothy Oran Goshorn.

THE COURT: Would you spell it, please.

MR. GOSHORN: T-I-M-O-T-H-Y, O-R-A-N, G-O-S-H-O-R-N.

THE COURT: All right.

22

23

24

25

The prosecutor has just alerted me that she may be asking you questions this afternoon that could result in -- in, if you answer truthfully, your incriminating yourself; that is to say, your admitting facts that could show you have in the past engaged in criminal activity.

I want to be sure, first of all, you understand you

I want to be sure, first of all, you understand you have an absolute right not to answer questions the answers to which might incriminate you.

Do you understand you have that right?

MR. GOSHORN: Yes.

THE COURT: You also have the right to have a lawyer advise you about this kind of exposure. And if you can't afford an attorney, I would appoint one to represent you, to help you make that decision.

Do you understand?

MR. GOSHORN: Yeah.

THE COURT: Have you had a lawyer giving you advice about these situations before?

MR. GOSHORN: No.

THE COURT: Is there a reason you haven't asked for a lawyer?

MR. GOSHORN: I don't feel like it's necessary.

THE COURT: All right.

I don't know what the exposure might be to you, but I do know that the two men who are on trial here are facing very

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Colloguy

67

serious charges. I know that other witnesses in this case were facing very serious charges, including up to mandatory minimums of 20 years in prison. I don't know if that is an exposure that you face. Do you understand? MR. GOSHORN: Yes. THE COURT: A lawyer representing you could help you figure out what risks you might have if you do in fact truthfully say things that incriminate you. Do you understand? MR. GOSHORN: Yes. THE COURT: Do you want me to get you a lawyer --MR. GOSHORN: You're kind of making me feel like I should say yes. THE COURT: I'm not trying to make you do anything. What I'm trying to avoid is this: In the future, if you're prosecuted, I don't want you making a motion in whatever court in which you're accused saying, I didn't know that I was facing exposure. I wouldn't have spoken if I had been told --MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. THE COURT: -- all of the bad things that could happen. Do you see what I'm saying? MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. THE COURT: I don't -- I want you to be able to make

68 Colloguy a decision on your own, but to do that it has to be voluntary 1 2 and it has to be knowing. You have to know what you're doing, 3 and you have to know what the consequences can be. Do you understand? 4 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 5 I mean, I would like to know if there was a 6 7 possibility of consequences. THE COURT: And I can't promise that to you because I 8 9 don't know anything about what you're going to say. 10 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 11 Well, would that be slowing down the process for 12 this --THE COURT: Well, it would probably mean you wouldn't 13 testify this afternoon because I would have to get a lawyer 14 15 appointed, someone who could meet with you to help you. But that's doable. 16 17 There's no magic -- is there, Ms. Bolstad, to your 18 testifying -- his testifying this afternoon, other than convenience? 19 20 MS. BOLSTAD: Just convenience, your Honor. 21

THE COURT: All right. And if you wanted a lawyer, I would ask my staff to contact the federal defender's office across the street and find you a lawyer. It probably won't be someone there because their office did represent Mr. Sandoval-Ramos in an earlier proceeding.

22

23

24

25

69 But I just want to be sure you've thought through 1 2 this on your own. I'm not trying to scare you or frighten you. 3 MR. GOSHORN: I came into this feeling like if there was any -- if there was enough information and evidence against 4 me, if they chose to prosecute me, that I would -- they would 5 have no problem doing that. 6 7 THE COURT: Anyway? 8 MR. GOSHORN: Yeah. 9 THE COURT: Without you talking to me. 10 MR. GOSHORN: Yeah, like my phone has enough 11 information on it to say everything. 12 That's why I feel like -- I felt like it would --THE COURT: So here -- here's the situation. 13 14 Lawyers are not magicians. They don't get to change 15 the facts, but they do protect; help a client protect himself from exposing himself unnecessarily. They can't change what 16 17 has already happened. 18 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 19 THE COURT: Right? 20 And as I say, I don't know what you're facing, but the Government -- the lawyer for the Government told me no 21 promises had been made to you. 22 23

MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm.

24

25

When -- when it said that no promises have been made, that's also the promise that I'm not going to be -- nothing

70 Colloquy will come punishment-wise towards me? 1 2 That promise hasn't been made. 3 THE COURT: Has or hasn't? MR. GOSHORN: Hasn't. 4 THE COURT: That's the point. 5 MR. GOSHORN: That's one of the promises, that 6 there's no --7 8 THE COURT: Nobody has promised you any protection 9 yet. 10 MR. GOSHORN: Yeah. 11 THE COURT: Okay. So all I'm saying is it's my 12 responsibility to be sure, if you take the witness stand and 13 testify truthfully in a way that incriminates yourself, you 14 know that you have a right not to answer those questions. 15 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 16 THE COURT: And if -- if you are -- if you're not 17 going to answer the questions, then tell me now. 18 MR. GOSHORN: I intended to answer 100 percent 19 truthfully, but yes, they will severely be incriminating 20 myself, honestly. 21 THE COURT: And I want to be sure you know that you 22 have a right to a lawyer if you want one. 23 And if you do, we'll pause you being called a 24 witness, and we'll get a lawyer involved. 25 But you don't have to have a lawyer. The point is

```
71
                                 Colloquy
     it's your choice.
 1
               MR. GOSHORN: I really don't want to --
 2
 3
               MS. BOLSTAD: Judge, if I could jump in here for just
     a second?
 4
 5
               THE COURT: Just a minute.
               What did you say?
 6
               MR. GOSHORN: I -- obviously, I do not want to put
 7
 8
    myself in jeopardy of -- you know, I -- I've changed my life
 9
     around 100 percent. And I don't want the past to affect the
10
    positive, you know.
11
               THE COURT: Here's another point.
12
               You're going to be under oath. There will be a
13
    record made. If ever in the future there's another proceeding
     and you testify differently, there's a record here.
14
15
               MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm.
16
               THE COURT: And it would be pointed out.
17
               Do you understand?
18
               MR. GOSHORN: (Nods head.)
19
               THE COURT: Is that a yes?
20
               MR. GOSHORN: Yes. But when you say a future
     proceeding, what do you mean?
21
               THE COURT: Well, what if a prosecutor chose to file
22
23
     a case against you?
24
               MR. GOSHORN: Oh, okay.
25
               THE COURT: And then you chose to testify again or
```

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 72 of 137 72 Colloguy make statements that were different from what you say today. 1 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 2 3 THE COURT: Then we have perjury, maybe. MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 4 THE COURT: And then we have risks of being 5 inconsistent in your statements. 6 7 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. 8 THE COURT: I want to point that out to you. 9 Do you understand --10 MR. GOSHORN: Yes, I do. 11 THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Bolstad. What did you want to 12 add? 13 MS. BOLSTAD: Sorry, your Honor. 14 And I -- I have nothing to say about whether you 15 should get an attorney or not. That's your decision. But for the record, the Government's intent with this 16 17 witness is to ask him questions about statements he already 18 made, that were recorded after Miranda at this incident. We 19 already have all of his statements in a recorded setting. 20 police have his statements. 21 So those are the things I would be asking this 22

witness today.

MR. GOSHORN: That's what I thought.

23

24

25

THE COURT: So you decide whether you want to testify or not. You decide whether you want me to appoint a lawyer to

73 Colloquy represent you before you decide. 1 2 MR. GOSHORN: I feel comfortable doing it without a 3 lawyer. 4 THE COURT: Okay. Are you thinking clearly today? 5 MR. GOSHORN: Yes. THE COURT: Have you had any kind of medicine --6 7 MR. GOSHORN: Prescribed only. No. 8 THE COURT: Pardon me? 9 MR. GOSHORN: Prescribed medication, but nothing 10 that's altering my judgment. 11 THE COURT: Okay. That's the point. 12 MR. GOSHORN: Um-hmm. THE COURT: Are you in a good frame of mind to make 13 14 this important decision? 15 MR. GOSHORN: Yes. Yes. Yes. 16 THE COURT: All right. Well, I'm satisfied you can 17 testify if that's your choice. 18 MR. GOSHORN: Okay. 19 THE COURT: Go ahead and step down. You are going to 20 have to wait outside. We have some things to do first. 21 (Mr. Goshorn exits courtroom.) 22 THE COURT: All right. Is there any other matter 23 before we bring in the jury for opening statements? 24 MS. BOLSTAD: No, your Honor. 25 THE COURT: All right. Please bring in the jury.

Opening Statement - by Mr. Andersen

Thank you. Everyone please rise for the jury.

(Jurors enter, 3:37 p.m.)

THE COURT: Thank you. Everyone please be seated.

All right, jurors. All set?

Okay. Now we're going to hear from Mr. Ben Andersen on behalf of Mr. Sandoval Sandoval-Ramos. Please give him your attention for his opening statement.

Counsel.

MR. ANDERSEN: Thank you, your Honor.

Now, ladies and gentlemen, as the judge just told you, I'm Ben Andersen. I am Fabian Sandoval-Ramos's attorney.

Now, I'm going to say something bold right now. I'm going to tell you that I'm going to be brief. And I'm going to try to stick with that promise, and I think I can.

Now, you are going to hear a lot of evidence in the next few days. A lot of evidence about drug dealing, about heroin, about the lifestyle. You're going to hear a lot of evidence from a number of witnesses that the Government has already laid out for you.

I don't really want to get into the specifics of each one of those witnesses. And I'm talking primarily about Morgan Godvin, about Michael Rosa, about Shane Baker. Those are the people that the Government says formed the chain.

But I will ask you to consider what we've already talked about quite a bit. What reasons these individuals would

Opening Statement - by Mr. Andersen

have to say the things that they have said and that presumably they're going to say when they testify. What motivations they might have for saying what they say.

Now, I think you may have picked up from the Government's opening as well, there is a lot of -- a lot of evidence about all of these other people and about heroin and about drug dealing, but there's not a whole lot of evidence that you're going to hear about Mr. Sandoval. So that's something I want you to consider as well.

And as the judge has told you, if it's enough, it's enough. So what the job of the jury is -- is to do is to examine the evidence as it will be presented to you and decide if the evidence you have heard is enough.

And, ladies and gentlemen, I am going to return at the end of this whole case and ask you to agree with me that the evidence you will have heard is not going to be enough.

So what I'm asking you to do, ladies and gentlemen, is to keep an open mind. Let the testimony you're about to hear, let the evidence that you're about to see guide your decision here.

It is a -- it is an important duty that you have all agreed to undertake here. It is a -- this story begins with a tragic occurrence. There's no denying that. But where it goes from here is up to you.

So with that, I'll leave you to it. And I think

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 76 of 137 76 Opening Statement - by Mr. Sepp after we hear from Mr. Sepp we're going to hear some evidence. 1 2 Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Andersen. And yes, ladies and gentlemen, you'll next hear from 4 Robert Sepp. He is counsel for Mr. Raul Arcila. Please give 5 6 him your attention. 7 MR. SEPP: Thank you, your Honor. 8 Good afternoon. I'm the attorney for Raul Arcila, 9 and I'm going to be just as brief as Mr. Andersen was. 10 This is a case that started with the tragedy. 11 However, what needs to be paid close attention to is that chain 12 of distribution. With each person who testified that it was the sole 13 14 source, analyze that individual for his biasness, her biasness. 15 Ms. Godvin, Mr. Baker, Ms. Rosa. They all pled. They all had 16 certain benefits for doing that. 17 And you heard, ad nauseam, this morning during voir 18 dire that, you know, they received benefits. And were you able 19 to analyze that according to their testimony? 20 What there is here is evidence involving Mr. Raul Arcila. It doesn't come in until March 31st. 21 22

Now, I'm not going to start there. I'm going to start with what the evidence might show on -- on April 2nd.

23

24

25

Indeed, he was there at the -- at the 7-Eleven.

There is no disputing the fact that he was a passenger in the

Opening Statement - by Mr. Sepp

1 green Honda that was the subject of the arrest on that day.

The evidence, however, will not show that there was any sort of fingerprint evidence establishing that, (A), these fingerprints were on the drugs that were collected from the Honda that day. There was no fingerprints evidence showing that there was — that he had touched whatever mechanism it is that opens this hidden compartment.

Also, it was a hidden compartment. The drugs were found within that compartment.

The vehicle was not registered to Mr. Arcila. The vehicle was not insured by Mr. Arcila, nor was it driven by Mr. Arcila.

Following this arrest on April 2nd, he was transported with Mr. Ramirez to the 69th Street -- location 1, as the Government has indicated.

During that -- during that transportation he didn't say anything. When he got there, he didn't say anything in there, either.

What they did do is he sat quietly while the police officers, detectives, DEA, dogs, all went through the house, looking for evidence.

Now, what evidence did they discover about drug distribution?

Quite a bit, and you'll see that.

What evidence did they discover linking Mr. Arcila to

Opening Statement - by Mr. Sepp

any of that? Was one fingerprint. One thumbprint. One thumbprint on a black notebook.

Not fingerprints right here, indicating that it was ever picked up. No fingerprints on the back -- on the back side of a piece of paper, showing that it was picked up.

Just one thumbprint on this -- in this notebook.

They -- at that point they seized -- they seized scales; a wrapping machine, that heat sealer; cutting stuff, as in -- in the form of the powders; the lactose and the sugar.

As you saw from the pictures in the opening, there were lots of boxes containing the wrappings or the product that was used to seal the drugs. On none of those boxes, on none of the scales, and on none of the heat-sealing machine was there any fingerprints found of Mr. Arcila.

Nor were there fingerprints found on the cash that was found in a box.

The -- the room that his personal effects were in, they did find that he had gone in there -- excuse me, that he had personal effects in there. Identification, an old W-2, and a -- and some other -- other documents.

But in that room where his effects were they did not find additional cash. They did not find any drug paraphernalia. They did not find a thing in his room.

Again, all of the evidence there, all they had was the one thumbprint.

Opening Statement - by Mr. Sepp

Going back to March 31st, where there was a setup buy involving Shane Baker. The only person that identifies

Mr. Arcila as a person in the vehicle, eyewitness testimony, is

Mr. Shane Baker.

That is the only evidence there. Whether it's enough, that is your determination.

On his phone, they did find text messages. They are not clear. It could mean quite a few different things. Your interpretation, that is what you will come to. However, that is not a consistent way of communicating the drug deal in this conspiracy.

This conspiracy theory is that it's Domino's.

Telephone calls are sent to California, and then another call is sent to someone to distribute. These are text messages that they find.

You will also find, in that text message, the amounts that they're talking about are well below the amounts that the theory is on this conspiracy, where people are coming to them buying ounces worth thousands of dollars, not hundreds.

When you look back and when you look at all of the ——all of the information, when you process it all, all we ask is that you reserve judgment; you don't make a decision prior to all of the evidence being in; and that you, in the end, hold the Government to their burden of proving every element beyond a reasonable doubt; and that you return a verdict of not

```
80
                                 Colloquy
     quilty.
 1
 2
               Thank you.
 3
               THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Sepp.
               All right. Jurors, now, finally -- you've not heard
 4
 5
     any evidence to this point. Now, finally, we begin with the
 6
     evidence.
 7
               The Government having the burden of proof, we're
 8
     beginning now with Ms. Bolstad calling the witnesses on behalf
 9
     of the Government's case.
10
               Your first witness is?
11
               MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. The Government
12
     calls Dustin Kilty.
13
               THE COURT: All right. Mr. Kilty, would you come
14
    here, please, all the way to the witness chair.
15
               Come all -- to the front of the room and up the
16
     stairs, please.
17
               Good afternoon.
               Please remain standing, face the jury and the deputy
18
19
             Raise your right hand to be sworn.
     there.
20
               (Witness sworn.)
21
               THE WITNESS: I do.
22
               THE CLERK: Please take a seat.
23
               THE COURT: Bring yourself close in to the microphone
24
     there.
             Thank you.
25
               Tell us your -- that's okay. Tell us your full name,
```

81 Kilty - D and spell all of it. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Dustin James David Kilty. D-U-S-T-I-N, 3 J-A-M-E-S, D-A-V-I-D, K-I-L-T-Y. THE COURT: Thank you. 4 5 Ms. Bolstad. 6 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MS. BOLSTAD: 9 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Kilty. 10 As you know, this is a criminal prosecution involving 11 the death of Mr. Delong. 12 Did you know Mr. Delong? Α. 13 I do. 14 Tell the jury about how you knew him. 15 I met him at Roof Life of Oregon, a place that we both 16 worked at. That's where I met him, became friends with him. 17 How long had you -- did you say you worked with him? 18 Α. Yeah. 19 How long had you worked with him at the roofing -- Roof 20 Life company? 21 A. I believe it was probably six to eight months before he 22 passed. 23 Q. So here's what I want to cover with you. 24 I want to go over your memories of the day of the

death. And then we'll rewind and we'll talk about the night

- 1 before, okay?
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. So let's talk about where this occurred.
- 4 Where did you live?
- 5 A. We lived off of Blanton Street in Aloha, Oregon.
- 6 Q. Did anyone live with you?
- 7 A. Yes, the son of the homeowner. I believe Shawn Carter is
- 8 his name.
- 9 Q. And what was the nature of your relationship with
- 10 Mr. Delong?
- 11 A. Basically, we started out as coworkers at Roof Life.
- 12 He was -- I was a cleaner, a roof cleaner in the
- 13 maintenance department, and he was a ground guy. And he became
- 14 | basically my ground guy; we worked together, basically every
- 15 day of the week.
- 16 Q. Were you just coworkers?
- 17 A. Since the first day we worked together, the first day we
- 18 were coworkers, and every day on we were best friends after
- 19 that.
- 20 Q. Okay. When you lived on Blanton Street, how long had you
- 21 been at that location with Mr. Delong?
- 22 A. I don't know the exact amount of time. I believe it was --
- 23 through fixing the place up and living there, I believe it was
- 24 probably six weeks.
- 25 **■** Q. Okay. And how old were you in March of 2014? So last

1 year?

- 2 A. I would have been 25, I believe.
- 3 Q. And how old was Mr. Delong?
- 4 A. He was 26.
- $5 \quad \square$ Q. Do you remember when the paramedics came to your
- 6 apartment -- or to your house? I'm sorry.
- 7 **I** A. I do.
- 8 Q. Who all was home that morning?
- 9 A. It was just myself -- well, Justin.
- 10 Q. Okay. And your other roommate, the third gentlemen, where
- 11 was he?
- 12 A. I believe he was either in California or in some sort of
- massage, chiropractic training, for the weekend or -- or past
- 14 week. Something like that.
- 15 Q. All right. So tell us about what happened on Saturday
- 16 morning.
- 17 A. Saturday morning I woke up, I believe about noon. I woke
- 18 up and started making breakfast. It was late in the day. I
- 19 was going to do yardwork and stuff like that.
- 20 So I woke up. I started making bacon and hash
- 21 | browns. Once I got done with those two, or close to being done
- 22 cooking those things, kept putting them in the oven to stay
- 23 warm -- Justin was very particular about how his eggs were
- 24 cooked. And he liked even -- I tried to show him that I can
- 25 ☐ cook them pretty well, and he admitted I did, but he still

1 liked to cook them his own way.

So I put those -- the bacon and hash browns in the oven to stay warm and called from the kitchen to him, down the hall. Didn't get any response.

So I put on some music that I turned him onto, kind of loud, hoping that would wake him up kind of indirectly, since it was Saturday. And nothing.

So I went to his door and kind of put my head in a little bit and, you know, said his name a couple of times. And nothing. So I put my head in far enough to see. And I saw him laying there, off his bed, naked.

And don't really know what I thought at that point, but I knew I didn't want to wake him up, you know, right -- standing right over top of him while he was naked. So I just kind of said his name a couple of times, sternly, from the door. And didn't get a response at all. He didn't move or anything.

So I stepped into the room. And as I stepped further into the room, I could see past the desk that was blocking his upper half and saw -- saw that he wasn't just asleep. He was obviously having some issues.

And so I ran over to him or jumped over to him as quick as I could. And by the amount of -- you know, blood and stuff that was there, I didn't want to check vitals from his face or from his neck, which I have been trained is one of the

85

- 1 better places to do so. So --
- 2 Q. Let me stop you there, Mr. Kilty.
- 3 A. Yeah.
- 4 Q. You mentioned you have been trained.

5 What do you mean?

- A. I was a volunteer firefighter for Odell Wy'East Department,
- 7 up in Hood River County.
- 8 Q. So do you have CPR training?
- 9 A. First aid, CPR, yeah. And --
- 10 Q. Okay. So when you went over to his body, tell the jury
- 11 what it was that you saw.
- Was his head in a certain position? Feet?
- 13 Tell them what you saw.
- 14 A. Well, so his feet -- his legs were up on the bed. If the
- 15 bed's like this, his legs were up on it, body coming down. And
- 16 | the rest of him, you know, the box spring and mattress, so his
- 17 back and -- was laying on the ground with his feet up at a 90,
- 18 up on the bed. Head in the corner of the room.
- And so when I went over there I realized, you know, I
- 20 didn't want to -- you know, put my hands near everything that
- 21 was on his upper half. So I went to grab his arm, as the next
- 22 best place to check vitals is the wrist, as far as I know.
- 23 And so I picked up his arm. And when I picked up his
- 24 arm, the -- I don't know what it was, puke, or what -- white
- 25 stuff around his mouth kind of bubbled.

And I thought that was a response to my touch. At that point I didn't need to get vitals. I -- at that point I was going to start doing CPR.

And so I kind of shifted his body off the bed, the way that it was. And doing so, his feet and legs and everything stayed as they were, up in the air, without being supported by the bed anymore.

And being a hunter and outdoorsman, I know what rigor mortis is and I know what a body that's been dead for a while looks like. And --

- Q. How did it feel when you touched his -- I think you said his wrist, to check for vitals. What did his body feel like?
- wasn't personal, I would have known right away because it was
 cold and it didn't feel right. But I was in -- I was in a -- I
 don't know, I wasn't able to see past that. I was -- I had

In hindsight, it didn't -- in hindsight, you know, if it

was hopeful that what I felt from his cold skin and stuff
wasn't what it actually meant.

more hope in me than I did reality at that point, I guess.

20 Q. Were you scared?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 21 A. At the time I don't remember being scared, no.
- I remember wanting to help, wanting reality not to be reality.
- 24 | Q. So what did you do to try to help?
 - A. Well, as soon as I positioned him off the bed and realized

87

1 that he -- not only was he dead, but he had been dead for a

- 2 while, I just exited the room. Went and got my phone from my
- 3 \blacksquare room, which is the next room down. Just called 9-1-1 as
- 4 quickly as I could.
- 5 \blacksquare Q. And did you stay at your house while you waited for 9-1-1?
- 6 A. I did.
- 7 Q. Okay. So let's rewind. Let's talk about the night before
- 8 this.
- 9 Do you remember that night?
- 10 A. I do.
- 11 Q. Was that Friday?
- 12 A. It was Friday evening, yeah.
- 13 Q. Did you see Mr. Delong on Friday night?
- 14 A. I did.
- 15 Q. Tell us about that.
- 16 A. I had received a text from him. I had worked later. It
- 17 was one of the rare days that he -- I didn't need a ground guy
- 18 for the day. And he had worked with somebody else and gotten a
- 19 ride home from somebody else.
- 20 And he texted me, I don't know, maybe between 3:00
- 21 | and 5:00 p.m., letting me know that he was home. Because
- 22 normally I would wait for him or he would wait for me at the
- 23 office, to give him a ride home.
- So I saw him once I got home, I believe about 7:00 or
- 25 8:00, and asked him if he wanted to go to my dad's house with

88

Which he usually did want to go. 1 me.

And he said he wanted to stay and watch a series --TV series, House of Cards, that I had on my computer, that I wasn't very fond of, but he liked quite a bit. And so it kind of made sense, you know, if I wasn't there, for him to stay

- there and watch it. And I didn't think much of it.
- Q. Did you notice anything unusual about Mr. Delong in this 7 8 interaction?
- 9 No, I really didn't. (Shakes head.)
- 10 Did you see any signs of drug paraphernalia?
- 11 And by that I mean did you see any syringes?
- 12 Nope. Α.

2

3

4

5

- 13 Q. Any spoons?
- 14 I didn't even see them on the bed when I went in the next
- 15 morning and found him. It was all right there. I didn't even
- 16 see it then.
- 17 But when you left on Friday night, did you see any drug
- 18 use?
- 19 A. Not at all.
- 20 Do you know if Mr. Delong had a drug problem?
- 21 I knew that he did, yeah, from what he had said and what
- 22 other people have said. I've never seen him use or anything,
- 23 but heard.
- 24 Q. And so during the approximately one year that you'd known
- him, working together, was it a problem? His drug use? 25

1 Did you ever see it?

- 2 A. I never saw it. Only problem I saw was, I suppose, the
- 3 aftermath of, you know, having an addiction. But I never saw
- 4 | him use or have any withdrawals or anything from it.
- 5 Q. Okay. Did he appear to be clean?
- 6 A. Absolutely.
- 7 Q. Not on drugs?
- 8 A. Absolutely.
- 9 Q. Have you been around drug addicts in general?
- 10 A. I've been around some, yeah.
- 11 Q. Okay. Did you have the time -- the chance to observe drug
- 12 addicts?
- 13 A. Yes, I have.
- 14 Q. And in your time with drug addicts, have you observed
- 15 certain things about them, like missing work or being late?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Did you observe any of that with Mr. Delong in the year
- 18 that you knew him?
- 19 A. Not at all.
- 20 Q. So on that Friday night when you went to your dad's house,
- 21 how long were you gone?
- 22 A. I don't remember the exact times, but I believe -- I
- 23 guess -- I think I got off -- or got home about 7:00 or 8:00,
- 24 left there shortly after. So anywhere from 9:00 to probably
- 25 \parallel midnight or 1:00 in the morning is when I got back.

Kilty - X

90

1 Q. And when you got back to your home, did you see Mr. Delong?

- A. I didn't. (Shakes head.)
- 3 Q. Do you know where he was?
- 4 A. I assumed he was in his room.
- 5 Q. Is the next time you saw Mr. Delong Saturday, when you went
- 6 into his room?
- 7 A. It is the next time I saw him.
- 8 MS. BOLSTAD: Okay. Nothing further on direct, your
- 9 Honor.

- 10 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 11 Mr. Andersen, any questions?
- MR. ANDERSEN: Your Honor, I have no questions for
- 13 Mr. Kilty.
- 14 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Sepp?
- MR. SEPP: Thank you. Just a couple.
- 16 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 17 BY MR. SEPP:
- 18 Q. During the six to eight months [sic] that you lived
- 19 together, is it possible that he could have been using drugs in
- 20 his room and you just didn't know?
- 21 A. I believe anything is possible, but I don't believe that to
- 22 be true at all.
- 23 Q. And you had testified that you had known him for nearly a
- 24 year, and then you moved in together about four months later.
- 25 Correct?

Kilty - X

91

A. We had known each other about a year, total.

We had known each other probably six to eight months before moving in together for six weeks, two months, something

4 like that.

1

2

3

- 5 Q. And did you give him rides home to the -- did you carpool
- 6 with him?
- 7 A. Yeah, I --
- 8 Q. I'm sorry, let me repeat that.

9 Did you carpool from him -- to and from work prior to 10 moving into the Blanton address?

- 11 A. Yes, every day since the first day I met him.
- 12 MR. SEPP: Nothing further. Thank you.
- 13 THE COURT: Any redirect?
- MS. BOLSTAD: No, your Honor.
- 15 THE COURT: May the witness be excused?
- 16 Yes?
- 17 MR. SEPP: Yes, your Honor.
- 18 THE COURT: Thank you, sir. You're free to go.
- 19 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 20 THE COURT: Next witness, please.
- 21 MS. BOLSTAD: The Government calls Deputy Medical
- 22 Examiner Charles Lovato.
- THE COURT: Thank you.
- Doctor, would you come here, please, to the witness
- 25 chair. Please come all the way up to the witness chair.

92 Lovato - D 1 Thank you. 2 Face the jury and the deputy there. Raise your right 3 hand to be sworn. (Witness sworn.) 4 THE WITNESS: I do. 5 THE CLERK: Please take a seat. 6 7 THE COURT: Bring yourself close in to the 8 microphone, please. 9 Tell us your full name, and spell it all. 10 THE WITNESS: Charles, C-H-A-R-L-E-S, James, 11 J-A-M-E-S, Lovato, L-O-V-A-T-O. 12 THE COURT: Thank you. Counsel. 13 14 MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you, your Honor. 15 DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MS. BOLSTAD: 16 17 Good afternoon. 18 Would you please tell the jury how you are employed. 19 I'm a deputy medical examiner for Washington County. Α. 20 And what do you do as a deputy medical examiner? 21 I am a forensic death investigator. And what that means is 22 I receive all calls of deaths that occur in the county that 23 fall under the statute for the requirement to be -- for our 24 office to be notified. Then I go through a set of processes of 25 making a determination as to whether they fall under the

93

1 guidelines where we will take jurisdiction.

And then at some point we respond to scenes to make that decision. And if an autopsy is required, then I'll coordinate with a forensic pathologist at the state office.

- Q. And do you do that with the Washington County Sheriff's
- 6 Office?

2

3

4

- 7 A. No, the medical examiner's office.
- 8 Q. So how long have you been with the medical examiner's
- 9 office?
- 10 A. I've been with this medical examiner's office for 12 years,
- 11 I've been in the field for 26.
- 12 Q. Where were you before?
- 13 A. Snohomish County, Washington, and Larimer County, Colorado.
- 14 THE COURT: Doctor, would you move back a bit from
- 15 the microphone, and we won't get as much feedback. Thank you.
- Go ahead.
- MS. BOLSTAD: Thank you.
- 18 BY MS. BOLSTAD:
- 19 Q. Did you have to go through any special training to become a
- 20 deputy medical examiner?
- 21 A. Yes. When I was hired, the training at that time was -- I
- 22 went to the -- the University of St. Louis school of medicine
- 23 for both basic and advanced death investigation.
- I also went through the -- it was called on-job
- 25 training, at that time. And I was required to study

1 pharmacology, physiology, anatomy, clinical diagnosis and

- 2 management. And then I was required to follow cases and do the
- 3 autopsy work for 200 cases, and explain everything I was
- 4 learning and how it applied to each case. And also explain
- 5 what was occurring at scenes and how that fit with what I was
- 6 seeing anatomically at the autopsy.
- 7 Q. Were you working on March 29th, 2014?
- 8 A. Yes, I was.
- 9 Q. And did you respond to a scene of a death?
- 10 | A. Yes, I did.
- 11 Q. What time did you receive the call?
- 12 A. I got the call at 1:00 -- 1:20 p.m.
- 13 Q. And what time did you arrive at the scene?
- 14 A. I believe it was 2:24, I believe.
- 15 | Q. And then do you remember who was present when you did
- 16 arrive at that home?
- 17 A. What's that?
- 18 Q. Do you remember who was present? Who was there?
- 19 ■ A. The people I saw was -- I met with Washington County Deputy
- 20 Cutler. And I believe, at least initially, Corporal Sanders
- 21 \blacksquare was there. And I believe the -- one of the roommates.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- 23 A. Mr. Kilty, I believe, was at the residence.
- And also an aunt of the deceased was there.
- 25 Q. So without getting into what people told you -- we're not

- 1 going to get into that.
- 2 A. Okay.
- 3 Q. Tell the jury what happened when you did arrive.
- 4 A. I was led back to the back bedroom where the deceased was
- 5 located.
- 6 Q. And what did you see?
- 7 A. I saw him, basically laying toward the back of the room, on
- 8 the -- it was a mattress that was on the floor.
- 9 And he was lying with his hips -- legs were on the
- 10 mattress, and his upper body was -- he was on his back, was
- 11 | laying back down towards the floor. And his arms were kind of
- 12 back and up.
- 13 Q. Did you see any blood?
- 14 A. Yes. He was -- he was nude. He had a large amount of --
- 15 of blood that's kind of consistent with coughing blood.
- 16 It was a fairly large pattern. It covered his chest
- 17 and his face, and it -- there was some on the wall. It covered
- 18 his arms.
- 19 Q. Did you say coughing blood?
- 20 A. Yeah.
- 21 Q. What do you mean by that?
- 22 A. It's kind of a -- a spray-type blood.
- 23 What happens is when the body starts to -- to cease,
- 24 the lungs start to get irritated as they start cycling down.
- 25 \blacksquare And small capillaries, the pleural lining will break and leak

96

blood into the bronchial airway, and that's where the blood comes from.

And the reason why I say it's kind of like a cough blood, it's -- it's blood that was a pattern that was kind of consistent with somebody who might have convulsed or had, like, a seizure right towards the end of death.

- Q. Besides blood, did you see any other fluids coming out of Mr. Delong?
- 9 A. Yes. There was a very large amount of a white frothy foam
 10 that was in the mouth, coming from the mouth or from the nose.
- 11 Q. Did you touch the body and get a feel for it?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- 13 Q. What did you observe?
 - A. He had rigor, which is a stiffening of the muscles that starts to occur after death. And it usually takes about 16 hours in normal conditions for it to become completely stiff, to where it's really hard to move any muscle at all.

His -- he did have some rigor in both the small and the large muscles. When -- when I say that, I mean the neck, the jaw, the fingers. And he also had it in the joints, but it was fairly easy to move still, and was still advancing. It wasn't fixed yet.

- 23 Q. And so was this body -- it's not yet in full rigor?
- 24 A. Correct.
- 25 Q. Okay.

A. And then there was lividity, which is — when the body ceases to function, your circulatory system stops and all of your blood in your body will start to pool down. It's called dependent lividity because it depends on gravity.

And what happens is it starts to fill in the capillaries of the skin that's closest down but not being pressed on, and it changes it to a kind of a purple color.

And as that starts to occur, which is usually about two hours when you will start to see the pattern start to develop, it gets darker. And it also starts to fix. In between 10 to 12 hours, the blood is congealing. And so what happens is you press on it and it blanches; like if you press your -- your hand and it turns white, that's -- you're pushing the blood away from the capillaries, and then it fills back in. And it happens pretty quickly like that in -- initially, in the first couple of hours, couple or three hours.

And then, as time passes and it fixes, it will no longer -- it gets slower at pushing away and slower at filling back in. At about 12 hours it's -- you can't -- you can't move it.

- Q. And so with this body, where was the lividity?
- 22 A. It was towards his back because -- you know, based on his position.
- Q. Okay. And timing-wise, you said it sort of takes two or three hours at the front end.

1 How long does it --

- 2 A. Right.
- 3 \square Q. How long does it take to reach full lividity when a body --
- 4 when a person dies?
- 5 A. Well, we put the two together and my approximate --
- 6 approximate -- approximation at the time was about six to ten
- 7 hours.
- 8 Q. Six to ten hours that Mr. Delong had been dead?
- 9 A. Yes, um-hmm.
- 10 | Q. What time were you making that observation on --
- 11 A. I was making that observation at -- I got at the scene at
- 12 2:34 p.m., and I was evaluating him shortly after that.
- 13 Q. So would you say between 2:30 and three o'clock?
- 14 A. Correct. Um-hmm.
- 15 Q. Did you observe any signs on the body of drug use?
- 16 A. What I did see on the -- the right arm, toward the side of
- 17 the elbow, were three or four healed -- looked like healed
- 18 abscesses. And then there was a couple more just little bit
- 19 lower, and those are fairly consistent with someone that's
- 20 using any type of an IV drug use or a needle.
- Q. And you say an abscess. People don't necessarily know what
- 22 an abscess is.
- Is it like a needle stick?
- 24 A. Well, a needle stick is -- the abscess itself is -- occurs
- 25 | when you get an infection at a site where you've breached the

skin and some bacteria or debris gets in, that the -- the body
wants to try and heal that, and you wind up building up pus.

You get an abscess that has a pussy discharge, fluid.

Once it goes through that -- that phase and it breaks open, as it starts to heal -- because of the tissue underneath that has been damaged and is lost, once it starts to heal, it has that kind of a crater look to it.

And it's -- that's what I was seeing, was about three or four of those, along here (indicating), and a couple down a little bit lower.

- Q. And when you say "here," what are you pointing at, for the record?
- 13 A. For the record, this is the inside the elbow or the antecubital fossa, as it's called.
- Q. Okay. So it sounds like you saw some well-healed needle marks.

Did you see any fresh needle marks?

- A. I did not see any fresh needle marks.
- 19 Q. Let's -- I'm going to ask you about the scene.

20 So we've talked about the body.

- 21 Did you observe anything worth noting around
- 22 Mr. Delong's body?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

A. Yes. He was toward the back end of the mattress, toward
the wall and in across the bed. So his torso or his upper body
was between the mattress and a entertainment -- entertainment

center along the other side of the wall.

And on that entertainment center, on the bottom base area, was a small metal cup almost like a little measuring-type cup. And it had -- inside, it had some -- a brownish res -- residue type of a substance and also a piece of cotton ball with -- that was brown. And on the bottom of that container was black scorch marks, from being burned.

And that's -- this is something we see that's fairly consistent with somebody that's using it as a cooker for changing their -- their drug into a liquid form for IV use.

There was also on the bed, closest to the door, the corner closest to the door, there was -- I believe it was a shirt. And in that pile there, there was a balled-up Kleenex, part of a cotton ball, two capped syringed -- needle syringes, and two caps to a needle syringe. The needle end and the -- and the plunger end.

Q. I'm going to show you -- I'm sorry.

I'm going to show you what's marked as Government Exhibit 2. It will come up on the screen in front of you, there.

Do you recognize that?

- A. Yes.
- 23 Q. Is that what you're describing?
- 24 A. Yes.

Q. And are the syringes -- point those out to the jury.

101

1 A. That would be this -- can they see?

- 2 Q. You can touch the screen or you can just describe it.
- A. Okay. Just by the -- by the butane lighter. If you move

4 up, there's one complete syringe.

- And I'm -- in this picture I'm not seeing -- I see a cap and a cap, and I'm not -- and the cotton ball, with some money. I believe it was something like \$581 was actually in
- 9 And I don't see the other syringe from this
 10 particular picture.
- 11 Q. I'm going to show you Government Exhibit 3. It's been 12 marked and admitted.
- Is this what you're talking about?

that little -- that little pile there.

14 A. Yes.

- 15 Q. Okay. And do you see syringes in this photo?
- 16 A. Yes, I do.
- 17 That would be these -- just above the butane lighter.
- 18 Q. Did you observe a belt in the room?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Tell us about that.
- 21 A. It was a leather belt that was under the deceased's upper body, on the floor. It was still in a loop.
- 23 And next to that, on the right side of his head, was 24 another uncapped syringe, needle syringe.
- 25 \blacksquare Q. Does a belt in a loop mean anything to you as a deputy

- 1 medical examiner?
- 2 A. Frequently that's used to -- what they call tie off or to
- 3 close off the upper arm when they're going to inject.
- 4 Q. Okay. So I'll show you Government's 4.
- 5 Is this the belt you saw?
- 6 A. That's the belt.
- 7 Q. And finally, let's take a look at Government Exhibit 5.
- 8 And next, Government Exhibit 6.
- 9 Did you recognize this?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Tell us what this is.
- 12 A. This is a brown tarry substance that is -- looks consistent
- 13 with the heroin.
- 14 Q. Was that found near Mr. Delong?
- 15 A. Yes. It was found under his arm.
- 16 Q. And I would like to return to the needle mark question,
- 17 Dr. Lovato.
- Do you have your report with you?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- 20 Q. Could you look at the bottom of the narrative portion, the
- 21 third paragraph from the bottom.
- 22 A. Um-hmm.
- 23 Q. And the final sentence there.
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. I'm going to ask you to read that to yourself.

- 1 A. Yeah.
- 2 Q. And ask you, does that refresh your recollection about
- 3 seeing fresh needle marks?
- 4 A. Yes. It does.
- 5 Q. Okay.
- 6 A. On the back part of the elbow there was -- it looked like a
- 7 puncture or a partial puncture. It -- it didn't have the --
- 8 the classic, you know, straight point to it. And that's what
- 9 it appeared -- appeared like to me. It was very fine, but at
- 10 the same time it was a little irregular, from what I saw.
- 11 Q. And did that mark -- was it different than the healed marks
- 12 | that you saw on other parts of his arm?
- 13 A. Yes, it was.
- 14 Q. Did you make a determination about what to do with
- 15 Mr. Delong's body?
- 16 A. Yes, it was determined to take him to the state for an
- 17 autopsy.
- 18 \blacksquare Q. Have you responded to other heroin overdoses?
- 19 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 \blacksquare Q. Do you send every body for an autopsy that you find?
- 21 A. We have in the past few years.
- Not always. But sometimes if the needle is actually
- 23 in the arm and if there's not enough information at the time
- 24 where someone may be charged, and there's no charges pending,
- 25 \parallel then we may not. We'll just do a toxicology test.

Lovato - X

104

Q. So it depends on how -- perhaps how strong the case appears from the get-go?

- A. Correct. Plus, you know, if there's a suspect.
- If -- if detectives are feeling like they -- they don't have any information to go on at the time, then a decision is made as to whether we feel like we need to do one for our purposes or not.
- Q. Okay. And in your experience at the medical examiner's office, have you responded to more heroin overdoses or less in these last five years of your career, than the first six at this medical examiner's office?
 - MR. ANDERSEN: Your Honor, I object to that.
- 13 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 14 MS. BOLSTAD: Nothing further on direct.
- 15 THE COURT: Cross, Mr. Andersen.
- MR. ANDERSEN: Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. ANDERSEN:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 19 Q. Am I understanding you right, that the puncture wound
- 20 that -- was on the back of the elbow?
- 21 A. I believe it was. Either that or a very small scratch. So
- 22 he's trying to -- it just wasn't -- it wasn't medical. I made
- 23 sure that -- that nobody from EMS had done anything to that.
- Q. So when you say it was not medical, it was not performed by
- 25 a medical technician that had responded to the scene?

Lovato - X

- 1 A. Correct. Correct.
- 2 Q. Was that, in your opinion, the site of injection in this --
- 3 A. No, it was not.
- 4 Q. But you couldn't --
- 5 A. That was the -- that was the only thing I could decipher.
- 6 The classic places toward the front of the arm --
- 7 Q. Right.
- 8 A. -- were so covered with little specks of blood.

10 punctures are so fine that they look so much alike, and because

And these -- these needle punctures, IV needle

11 there was so much pattern -- I did not want to disturb the

12 pattern. It's better for that to be done at the autopsy suite,

and you can do cutdowns and look and see what -- you know,

14 after you clean everything, you can look and see what you can

15 see.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

9

MR. ANDERSEN: Okay. That's all I have.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Sepp?

MR. SEPP: No questions.

THE COURT: Any redirect?

MS. BOLSTAD: No, thank you.

THE COURT: Thank you, Doctor. You're free to go.

Next witness, please.

MS. BOLSTAD: The Government calls Sara Short, from the Oregon State Police crime lab.

25 THE COURT: Ms. Short, would you come all the way to

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 106 of 137 106 Short - D the witness chair, please. Please come all the way up. 1 2 Thank you. 3 Remain standing. Face the jury and the deputy. And raise your right hand to be sworn, please. 4 5 (Witness sworn.) THE WITNESS: I do. 6 7 THE CLERK: Please take a seat. 8 THE COURT: Bring yourself close around to the 9 microphone, please. 10 Tell us your full name, and spell it all. 11 THE WITNESS: My name is Sarah Short. 12 First name S-A-R-A. Last name S-H-O-R-T. 13 THE COURT: Thank you. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 BY MS. GOLOBORODKO:

Q. Good afternoon. Could you please tell the Court how you're employed.

section of the Oregon State Police forensic lab in Clackamas.

- 18 A. I am employed as a forensic scientist in the toxicology
- Q. And how long have you worked for the Oregon State Police
- 21 | forensic lab?

- 22 A. Since August of 2006.
- 23 Q. And can you tell us about your education.
- A. I have a bachelor's degree in biomedical sciences from the
- 25 | State University of New York at Buffalo, and I have a master's

Short - D

107

1 degree in forensic science from Marshall University.

- Q. And what did you do prior to this job?
- 3 A. Prior to starting my employment with OSP in 2006, I worked
- 4 for the Westchester County Medical Examiner's Office in
- 5 Valhalla, New York. I was a forensic toxicologist there for
- 6 two years.

- 7 Q. Now, you said that you're a forensic toxicologist. Is that
- 8 your area of focus or specialty?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And do you go through annual proficiency exam --
- 11 | examinations at OSP?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- 13 Q. Now, while at OSP, can you estimate how many fluid analyses
- 14 you've done?
- 15 A. Probably between 2- and 3,000 analyses.
- 17 your laboratory findings on prior occasions?
- 18 A. Yes, I have.
- 20 typically involved when you receive fluids to test in the lab.
- 21 A. When I initially receive the samples, these can be any type
- 22 of biological samples. Typically they're blood; urine; or
- 23 vitreous humor, which is the fluid from the eye.
- 24 The first step in my analysis is screening, and
- 25 that's just a presumptive positive or negative for various

Short - D

108

categories of drugs. So after I do that test, I'll have a positive or negative result for general drug categories like opiates, amphetamines, or benzodiazepines.

After that screening test, I do a more confirmatory test called GC/MS, or gas chromatograph/mass spectrometer. And that is the standard of identification of drugs in the field of forensic toxicology. And that test identifies any drugs that were positive by the screening test, and it also detects drugs that aren't detected by the screening test. Things like over-the-counter medications, some prescription medications.

And then, if it's a blood sample, I take it a step further and quantitate any drugs that I detected in that confirmatory test.

- Q. You said quantitate. What is the difference between quantitative and qualitative?
- A. A qualitative test is just an identification of a drug, whether it's present or not present.

A quantitative test takes that one step further, past identification, and assigns a value to how much of that drug is present.

21 Q. All right. Now I want to talk about the urine testing.

Did you analyze a urine sample taken from

- 23 Mr. Delong's body?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

25 Q. Now, why did the lab test his urine?

109

A. The biological samples are submitted by the medical examiner. So in this case they requested toxicology — toxicological testing on these samples.

- 4 Q. And what do you normally look for in your tests?
- A. The lab's testing is designed to be comprehensive and broad, to detect as many drugs as possible. So it's not a targeted analysis. It's that screening and then broad
- 8 confirmatory approach to detecting drugs.

And why does the lab do this?

- 10 A. It's the standard of testing in the field of forensic
 11 toxicology, is screening and then confirmatory-type tests.
- Q. Now, I'm going to show you an exhibit which is marked
 Government's Exhibit 11.
- THE COURT: This has been previously admitted?

 MS. GOLOBORODKO: No, your Honor. It's just for

 the --
- THE COURT: Then it should not be on your screens.

 You'll show -- you'll get it when it's received.

 All right. Go ahead, Counsel.
- 20 BY MS. GOLOBORODKO:
- 21 Q. Do you recognize this document?
- 22 A. Yes.

- 23 \mathbb{Q} . And what is it?
- A. This is the analytical report that I prepared after the completion of my analysis of these samples.

110

1 Q. Does this document describe what you tested?

- 2 A. This document describes that I tested the urine sample.
- 3 Q. Does it accurately document your findings?
- 4 A. Yes, it does.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And is that your signature on the bottom?
- 6 A. Yes.
- 7 MS. GOLOBORODKO: I would like to offer Government's
- 8 Exhibit 11 into evidence.
- 9 MR. ANDERSEN: I have no objection.
- 10 MR. SEPP: No objection.
- 11 THE COURT: It's received. It may be published.
- 12 Please continue.
- 13 BY MS. GOLOBORODKO:
- 14 Q. Now, did you analyze this specimen in the way that you
- 15 described; presumptive testing, followed by more specific
- 16 tests?
- 17 A. Yes, I did.
- 18 Q. And what did you find?
- 19 \blacksquare A. I confirmed the presence of codeine, 6-monoacetylmorphine,
- 20 and heroin in the urine sample. And my examination indicated
- 21 the presence of morphine.
- 22 Q. Now, I guess, what does that mean?
- Can you tell us what codeine, 6-monoacetylmorphine --
- 24 what all of those are?
- 25 A. I'll start with heroin. That is the parent drug or the

drug that is ingested or consumed by an individual.

6-monoacetylmorphine is its unique metabolite. So if 6-monoacetylmorphine is detected in someone's urine, that means that it came from heroin.

Codeine is most likely an impurity found in the manufacture of heroin that's also present in the urine of heroin users, and my examination indicated the presence of morphine.

This means that -- we have strict confirmation criteria before I can report a drug as being confirmed in urine -- in a sample. And the morphine in this case did -- did not meet one of those criteria, but there was strong evidence that it was present. And the procedures allow for reporting a drug as indicated in those circumstances.

- Q. Have -- now, there's morphine in there. I guess, what is morphine in the urine?
- A. Morphine is a narcotic analgesic. It's an opiate. It can be taken on its own. And it's also a metabolite of heroin.
- 19 Q. So what does that mean, a metabolite of heroin?
- A. Heroin breaks down very quickly in the body. It's metabolized very quickly. That's what metabolism means; it's just a breakdown process that the body does naturally.

Heroin's metabolic pathway, after it's in the bloodstream, heroin metabolizes to 6-monoacetylmorphine first, and then morphine. That's the metabolic pathway, the way the

112

1 body breaks it down to get it out.

- 2 Q. Now, you just mentioned that you have very specific
- 3 \parallel confirmation requirements. I guess, what -- what does that
- 4 mean?
- 5 A. Some of the confirmation requirements require I have a
- 6 positive screening test for opiates, and I did in this case.
- 7 Then I have drugs detected by GC/MS, which I did in
- 8 this case.
- 9 And that they -- those drugs have a retention time
- 10 within 1 percent of the retention time of a reference standard
- 11 that's also analyzed within seven days of this sample.
- 12 Additionally, it has to have a spectral match. Each
- 13 drug has a unique fingerprint. After it's analyzed by GC/MS,
- 14 it has to match well to the reference standard.
- 15 Q. So now I want to move on to the blood test information.
- 16 Did the lab also test a sample of Mr. Delong's blood?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. And why did the lab test his blood?
- 19 \blacksquare A. That was also requested by the medical examiner's office.
- 20 Q. Now, I'm going to show you -- again, just you, what's been
- 21 marked as Government's Exhibit 12.
- Do you recognize this document?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Q. And what is it?
- 25 \blacksquare A. It is the analytical report that I wrote after the

- 1 completion of my analysis of the blood.
- 2 \parallel Q. And does this document describe what was tested?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And does it accurately document your findings?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And is that your signature on the bottom of the document?
- 7 \blacksquare A. Yes, it is.
- 8 MS. GOLOBORODKO: I would like to offer Government's 9 Exhibit 12 into evidence.
- 10 THE COURT: Any objection, Counsel?
- MR. ANDERSEN: No.
- 12 THE COURT: Mr. Sepp?
- MR. SEPP: Oh, no, your Honor.
- 14 THE COURT: All right. It's received.
- 15 Please publish.
- 16 Continue, Counsel.
- 17 BY MS. GOLOBORODKO:
- Q. So, now, you mentioned that the test did -- or the lab ran tests of Mr. Delong's blood.
- 20 What tests were run?
- 21 A. As I described earlier, on this sample I performed a
- 22 screening test, that initial presumptive test for general
- 23 categories of drugs; a confirmatory test by GC/MS; and then
- 24 also a quantitative test by LC/MS/MS.
- 25 \blacksquare Q. Now, what do you normally look for in blood tests?

114

A. The toxicology testing for blood samples is similar to the one for urine. It's broad-based and comprehensive to detect as

- 3 many drugs as possible.
- 4 Q. And in this case, was anything found in Mr. Delong's blood?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What was found?
- 7 A. My examination confirmed the presence of morphine free at
- 8 0.085 milligrams per liter, plus or minus 0.014 milligrams per
- 9 liter; and morphine-glucuronide bound at greater than .8
- 10 milligrams per liter.
- 11 Q. Now, what is morphine free and morphine-glucuronide bound?
- 12 A. Morphine free is the parent drug morphine. It can either
- 13 be -- again, it's taken on its own as morphine or it can be a
- 14 metabolite of heroin.
- Morphine-glucuronide is morphine's metabolite. It

just has a sugar molecule, a glucuronide molecule, attached to

- 17 it to help make it more water soluble to get out of the body.
- 18 Q. Now, did you find anything else in his blood?
- 19 A. No. The alcohol volatiles analysis for ethanol or alcohol
- 20 was 00, and acetone was also not detected.
- 21 Q. Did you find any other drugs?
- 22 A. No, I did not.
- 23 Q. Now, the finding of morphine here, is this a confirmed
- 24 result?

16

25 A. Yes, it is.

Short - X

115

Q. Okay. Now, when you find these markers in a person's blood, what does it tell you?

- 3 A. From just the blood report alone, I can't tell if the
- 4 person ingested, consumed, or used morphine or heroin. But it
- 5 indicates that there is morphine in the blood and -- as well as
- 6 morphine metabolite, that morphine-glucuronide in the blood
- 7 sample.
- 8 Q. Now, looking at both the urine and blood tests, did the lab
- 9 find any other chemicals or controlled substances in either
- 10 | fluid?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. And based on these results from both the blood and urine
- 13 testing, what is the big picture?
- 14 A. The analysis of the blood and urine together indicate to me
- 15 that at some point recently this person used heroin.
- MS. GOLOBORODKO: That's all the questions I have on
- 17 direct.
- 18 THE COURT: Mr. Andersen, any questions?
- MR. ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you.

20 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 21 BY MR. ANDERSEN:
- 22 Q. Now, you said -- if I'm understanding you right, you were
- 23 talking about, for example, the morphine free and the
- 24 morphine-glucuronide bound indicates it could be heroin or
- 25 morphine. Is that true?

Short - X

116

1 A. From the blood report alone, yes.

Q. All right. So from the blood report, at least, you couldn't tell what -- what it was that -- that came into the -- the bloodstream in the first place?

Is that true; you can just tell what the metabolites are?

- A. From the blood report alone, if the blood report was standing alone and I did not have a urine sample, I would not be able to determine if this person used morphine or heroin or both.
- 11 Q. So that's what the urine sample -- does that tell you it's heroin?

Or how -- how do you determine if it's heroin?

A. The urine sample not only contains heroin, which is not frequently detected in our cases, but also contains
6-monoacetylmorphine, which is a unique metabolite of heroin.

And so that information, coupled with the morphine in the blood, says to me, as a toxicologist, that this person used heroin.

- Q. Now, does that tell you any -- any information about the type of heroin or -- or where that heroin could have come from or anything about the -- the heroin itself?
- 23 A. No, it does not.

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

Q. Now, can you -- I don't know if you have a copy of that.

Maybe we could pull up just the -- the -- your --

Short - X

117

1 which I believe that's --

2 THE COURT: 11.

3 MR. ANDERSEN: 11. Thank you, your Honor.

- 4 BY MR. ANDERSEN:
- 5 Q. Can you -- when was this testing performed, if you could
- 6 look up at the top there?
- 7 A. My analytical report was issued May 26th of 2014.
- 8 Q. So that's about two weeks -- or, I'm sorry, two months
- 9 after it was collected. Is that true?
- 10 A. I would have to refer to my notes to look about -- to see
- 11 when it was collected.
- 12 Q. I -- I think we can -- if you look just below there, right
- 13 above the analytical report, it says at least the date of --
- 14 of his decease.
- 15 Is that --
- 16 MR. ANDERSEN: Thanks for following along with me.
- 17 BY MR. ANDERSEN:
- 18 Q. Is that date -- does that date, there, tell you any time
- 19 when you would assume it would have been collected?
- 20 ■ A. Yes. It's usually very close to the date of death that
- 21 it's been drawn, yes.
- 22 Q. Date of death.
- Is that a normal time frame, to have two months
- 24 | between the collection and the testing?
- 25 A. It's not an untypical time frame.

Short - ReD

118 I could look more closely at my notes to see exactly 1 2 when I analyzed it because there is time between my analysis 3 and when I actually write my report. Okay. I don't think that's necessary. 4 5 MR. ANDERSEN: I don't have any further questions. 6 THE COURT: Thank you. 7 Mr. Sepp, any questions? 8 MR. SEPP: Nothing, your Honor. 9 THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 10 MS. GOLOBORODKO: I have one more question on 11 redirect. 12 THE COURT: Go ahead. 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MS. GOLOBORODKO: 15 Q. Now, if you need to look at your notes to refresh your 16 memory, you may. 17 When did you analyze these samples? 18 And please read the notes to yourself. And then, 19 once your memory is refreshed, you can let us know. 20 I will have to refer to my notes for those dates. 21 (Pause, referring.) 22 THE WITNESS: I started the analysis on May 5th, 23 2014. So my screening test was on May 6th of 2014, with 24 confirmatory testing on May 12th, 2014.

25

BY MS. GOLOBORODKO:

```
119
                               Short - ReD
       Now, is there anything about the delay that would impact
 1
     your testing?
 2
 3
    Α.
        No.
               MS. GOLOBORODKO: No further questions, your Honor.
 4
 5
               THE COURT: May the witness be excused? Yes?
 6
               MR. ANDERSEN: Yes.
 7
               MR. SEPP: Yes, your Honor.
 8
               THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Ms. Short. You're
 9
    free to go.
10
               THE WITNESS: Thank you.
11
               THE COURT: How long for the next witness?
12
               MS. BOLSTAD: I think 15 minutes, your Honor, we
13
     could get it done.
14
               THE COURT: Jurors? Yes?
15
               Okay. Let's get it done.
16
               MS. BOLSTAD: The Government calls Tim Goshorn.
17
               THE COURT: The more we get done today. Thank you.
18
    Appreciate it, jurors.
19
               And then we'll call it a day, after this witness.
20
               Sir, please come to the witness chair. All the way
21
     up, and remain standing.
22
               Please face the jury and the deputy there. Raise
23
     your right hand to be sworn.
24
               (Witness sworn.)
25
               THE WITNESS: I do.
```

```
120
                               Goshorn - D
               THE CLERK:
                          Please take a seat.
 1
 2
               THE COURT: Bring yourself close in to the
 3
    microphone.
 4
               Now tell us your full name, and spell it all.
 5
               THE WITNESS: Timothy Oran Goshorn.
 6
               T-I-M-O-T-H-Y, O-R-A-N, G-O-S-H-O-R-N.
 7
               THE COURT: Need you to slow down just a bit when you
 8
     speak.
 9
               Counsel.
10
                            DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
    BY MS. BOLSTAD:
12
     Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Goshorn.
13
       Good afternoon.
14
       Could you tell the grand -- I'm sorry. Could you tell the
15
     jury, how old are you?
16
        28.
    Α.
17
        Okay. Were you present when the police showed up at
18
    Mr. Rosa and your apartment?
19
    Α.
        Yes.
20
         Okay. Was that back in March 2014?
21
    Α.
         Yes.
22
        Did you live there?
    Q.
23
    Α.
        Yes.
24
        How long had you lived there?
25
         Six months, I think.
```

- 1 Q. Who else lived there?
- 2 A. Morgan Godvin.
- 3 Q. At that time, in March 2014, did you use heroin?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. Do you use heroin now?
- 6 A. No.
- 7 Q. When did you start using heroin?
- 8 A. When I was 19.
- 9 Q. And how old were you back in March 2014?
- 10 So last year?
- 11 A. 27, 26.
- 12 Q. Okay. So did you use heroin about seven years?
- 13 A. Yeah.
- 14 Q. Do you know anyone who has died from heroin?
- 15 A. Many people.
- 16 Q. Did you know Justin Delong?
- 17 A. I did.
- 18 Q. How did you know Mr. Delong?
- 19 ■ A. I met him through Morgan a year before he passed away, and
- 20 he stayed at my house for a couple months. Lived with me, him
- 21 and his girlfriend. And -- yeah.
- 22 But we lost contact after he -- he sold heroin to my
- 23 prother, and he passed away.
- 24 Q. Your brother passed away?
- 25 A. Yes, from an overdose.

122

1 Q. Overdosing on heroin that Justin Delong had sold him?

- 2 A. Yes.
- 3 Q. Okay.
- 4 A. So we kind of didn't talk after that.
- 5 Q. Okay. How many siblings do you have?
- 6 A. There are six of us.
- 7 Q. And so in your seven years of using heroin, did you ever
- 8 have any close calls with overdose?
- 9 A. Once or twice.
- 10 Q. Okay. So had you ever tried to get off of heroin before
- 11 this year of sobriety?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 Q. Tell the jury about that. What does that feel like?
- 14 A. The actual physical feeling of it?
- 15 Q. Of trying to get off heroin.
- 16 A. It's un -- undescribable. It's like the flu, the worst flu
- 17 ever, with thousands of paper cuts all over your body. Not
- 18 | being able to stop moving. Throwing up, stuff coming out of
- 19 every orifice. Like just -- it's miserable.
- 20 Q. Does that last a short period of time or a long period of
- 21 time?
- 22 A. Two weeks, three weeks. Then no sleeping for months.
- 23 Q. Is there anything that makes you feel better when you're
- 24 experiencing those symptoms?
- 25 A. Opiates or benzos.

- 1 Q. So the thing you're trying to get off of is the thing that
- 2 can make you feel better?
- $3 \quad \blacksquare \quad A. \quad Um-hmm.$
- 4 Q. Do you remember the day when the police showed up in late
- 5 March?
- 6 A. Yes, I do.
- 7 Q. Do you have a clear memory of that day or does it stand out
- 8 | in your mind?
- 9 A. It stands out.
- 10 Q. Why?
- 11 A. I don't know. It was very dramatic. It was three years
- 12 and a day to the day my brother died. Life was hectic. Just a
- 13 crazy time.
- 14 Q. But you were using at the time?
- 15 A. Um-hmm.
- 17 able to perceive what's going on around you --
- 18 **A.** Yeah.
- 19 Q. −− or are you out of it?
- 20 A. No, it's not like people think it is. It's -- you're not
- 21 just a zombie. Like -- I know plenty of people that hold jobs
- 22 and have families that, you know, go for a long time with no
- 23 one ever knowing.
- 24 Q. So you're able to function?
- 25 A. Um-hmm.

- 1 Q. And were you in fact able to function?
- 2 A. At the time, I would say so.
- 3 Q. Mr. Goshorn, did you distribute heroin also?
- 4 A. Yes.
- 5 Q. And did you tell the police about that when they arrived?
- 6 A. Um-hmm.
- 7 THE COURT: Sir, would you please say yes or no
- 8 instead of um-hmm, or --
- 9 THE WITNESS: Yes, yes.
- 10 They had my phone, so there was no denying --
- 11 BY MS. BOLSTAD:
- 12 Q. Okay.
- 13 A. -- anything.
- 14 Q. When they arrived, did you have any involvement in dealing
- 15 heroin to Ms. Godvin, what the police were there to talk about?
- 16 A. No.
- 17 | Q. Do you know Michael Rosa?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Was he living with you at the time?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. How long had he been there?
- 22 A. A month.
- 23 Q. And did you work with Mr. Rosa to sell drugs?
- 24 A. Yes.
- 25 Q. What was your role?

125

A. He was my best friend. And I guess I just helped him,
like give him a break. And he would -- we would just -- I
would get my share of money and drugs and --

Q. And so the jury might not be familiar with how it works. When you say you helped him, walk us through that.

Would Mr. Rosa give you heroin to just go do whatever you wanted with?

- A. A big part of it was that he could trust me. And we were dealing with thousands and thousands of dollars a day, so just the fact that there was someone else that people who want to buy drugs, time doesn't matter. They'll call you at 8:00 in the morning or call you at 4:00 in the morning, and all day, all hours, between. So him trying to do it, he you know, he wanted money. There was a lot of money to be made. So he would work so much he couldn't handle it. So I was the only person he trusted, so I would take it and sell it.
- 17 Q. Would you do what he told you?
- 18 A. He was like my boss, yeah.
- 19 Q. Okay. And so, for example, would you go deliver drugs to 20 customers?
- 21 A. Um-hmm.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 22 THE COURT: Please say yes or no.
- 23 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 24 THE COURT: Thank you.
- 25 BY MS. BOLSTAD:

126

Q. But just to go back a step, about Morgan Godvin, when the police arrive, had you delivered heroin to Morgan Godvin?

Had you given her that heroin?

4 A. No.

- 5 Q. Okay. And had you given any heroin in late March to
- 6 Mr. Delong?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Were you present when Mr. Delong came over?
- 9 A. They did not tell me because of the history. They -- it
- 10 was my house, and they knew I wouldn't want him in my house.
- 11 Q. So did you even know he was there?
- 12 A. Nope. I thought he was in jail. That was my
- 13 understanding.
- 14 | Q. When you found out that Mr. Delong had died, how did you
- 15 feel?
- 16 A. It was so many emotions. Figuring out what day it was,
- 17 three years and a day after my brother died from the drugs
- 18 Justin had given him. And then my roommate gave him -- I don't
- 19 know. It was very emotional.
- 20 And I feel for anyone, you know, that -- he has a
- 21 I family. And I know what my family went through, and it's just
- 22 sad.
- 23 Q. When you were working with Mr. Rosa to deliver heroin, do
- 24 you know where Mr. Rosa got his heroin?
- 25 Where did he get it from?

- 1 A. Multiple people.
- 2 Q. Okay. In this late March time frame -- so the very
- 3 specific period of time, do you remember who he was getting
- 4 heroin from?
- 5 A. Yeah. I knew a name, yes.
- 6 Q. What is the name?
- 7 A. Shane.
- 8 Q. Okay. And had you ever gone directly to Shane, sort of
- 9 around Mr. Rosa?
- 10 A. Not with Rosa. One time when Mike was out of town.
- 11 Q. Tell us about that.
- 12 A. I -- Mike just told me to take the money to Elmer's on 92nd
- 13 at a certain time. So I went there, didn't even talk to him.
- 14 ■ Just gave him money, he gave me a bag, and I left.
- 15 Q. And who's the him? Is that --
- 16 A. Shane, I believe.
- 17 Q. And is this the late -- or March 2014 time period?
- 18 A. Maybe two months before.
- 19 MS. BOLSTAD: Okay. Nothing further on direct, your
- Honor.
- 21 THE COURT: Mr. Andersen.
- MR. ANDERSEN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 BY MR. ANDERSEN:
- 25 \blacksquare Q. Now, when you say multiple people, are you talking over the

128

1 life of --

- 2 A. Over the two years, yeah.
- Q. So was it not uncommon for him to have multiple sources at one time?
- 5 THE COURT: Him, who?
- 6 MR. ANDERSEN: I'm sorry.
- 7 BY MR. ANDERSEN:
- 8 Q. For Mr. Rosa, to your knowledge, to have --
- 9 A. It would be -- it would be solid for, you know, a certain
- 10 amount of time, six months or so. And then someone would fall
- off, get arrested and disappear, you know. Move on to the next
- 12 person. There would be a couple weeks or so of trying to find
- 13 someone.
- So dealing with a larger amount, it's harder to find people that deal with it, so --
- Q. Well, now, did you -- did you call the police on Mr. Rosa
- 17 at any time before this -- the police came and talked with you?
- 18 A. I did not call the police on Mike. I told my parents what
- 19 was going on because I so badly wanted to get out of the mess
- 20 that I had got myself into.
- 21 And I gave my parents some information. And they --
- 22 I don't know what they actually ended up doing with it because
- 23 nothing happened. So --
- 24 Q. So what was the information you gave to your parents?
- 25 A. That Mike was going to get drugs from Ohio.

```
129
                               Goshorn - X
       And did you see him come -- after he came back from -- from
 1
     Ohio with drugs?
 2
 3
    A. Um-hmm. Um-hmm.
 4
               THE COURT: Please say yes or --
 5
               THE WITNESS: Yes.
 6
               THE COURT: Thank you.
 7
    BY MR. ANDERSEN:
 8
    Q. And that was, what? A week or two weeks before you got
 9
    arrested? Is that accurate?
10
               Or, I'm sorry, before the police came and talked to
11
    you?
12
        Two, three weeks, yeah.
    Α.
        Okay. Now, after the police came and talked with you, did
13
14
    you and Mike continue to sell heroin?
15
       After we got busted?
    Α.
16
       After you got busted?
    Q.
17
    Α.
       Yes.
18
               MR. ANDERSEN: Okay. That's all the questions I
19
    have. Thank you.
20
               THE COURT: Mr. Sepp.
21
               MR. SEPP: Just a couple.
22
                            CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
    BY MR. SEPP:
24
    Q. Did you see the heroin that Mr. Rosa brought back from
25
     Ohio?
```

- 1 A. Um-hmm.
- 2 THE COURT: Please say yes or no.
- 3 THE WITNESS: Yes.
- 4 BY MR. SEPP:
- 5 Q. How much was it, do you know?
- 6 A. A half ounce. And it was white powder.
- 7 Q. And how often did he go to Ohio during the time frame
- 8 January to March?
- 9 A. A couple times, but just for vacations, to see family and
- 10 stuff. It was the only time he brought anything back.
- MR. SEPP: That's all. Thank you.
- 12 THE COURT: Redirect.
- MS. BOLSTAD: Briefly.

14 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

- 15 BY MS. BOLSTAD:
- 16 Q. Mr. Goshorn, you mentioned the white material he brought
- 17 back from Ohio. I missed it; how much did he bring back?
- 18 A. Half ounce.
- 19 Q. A half ounce. Is that about -- what?
- 20 How many grams is that?
- 21 A. Uh -- (Pause.) 7 grams.
- 22 Q. Is that your memory of it or --
- 23 A. Okay. So a ball is -- no. 14. 12 to 14, in between
- 24 there. That's what it is.
- 25 Q. Okay. Did you have a chance to try what he brought back

Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 131 of 137 131 Colloquy from Ohio? 1 Yes. 2 Α. 3 What did you think of that white stuff? 4 Overrated. Α. 5 Overrated? Q. 6 Um-hmm. Α. 7 Q. What do you mean? 8 He paid more per half ounce than 1 ounce would cost in 9 Oregon. And it was supposed to be -- we were charging, like, 10 five times the amount. And it wasn't worth it. It wasn't --11 it wasn't equivalent. 12 Okay. And you're talking about product quality, right? 13 Α. Um-hmm. 14 What was a better hit, your Oregon heroin or the white 15 stuff from Ohio? 16 Oregon. Α. 17 Okay. Is that a stronger hit? 18 Α. Um-hmm. 19 Was it a cheaper hit from Oregon? 20 Α. Um-hmm. 21 Yes. Yes. 22 MS. BOLSTAD: Nothing further. 23 THE COURT: May the witness be excused? 24 MR. SEPP: Yes.

MR. ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you.

Colloguy

132

Thank you, sir. You're free to go. 1 THE COURT: THE WITNESS: Thank you. 2 3 THE COURT: As are you, ladies and gentlemen. Let me just remind you, do not talk about the case. 4 Your family, your friends are going to want to know how you 5 spent the day. You tell them you've been involved in a 6 criminal case. The judge has ordered you not to talk about it 7 8 and to wait until the end, and just keep repeating that. All 9 right? 10 No Internet work about the case, no blogging, no 11 Facebook, no -- no nothing about the case or anything that it 12 involves. This is really important. That said, I want you to please leave your notes on 13 14 the chair, and just leave the case behind for today. It's been 15 a long day of tough subjects. Enjoy your evening. 16 Tomorrow, the door to the court -- to the jury room 17 will be available for you to ring the bell by eight o'clock. 18 You can come in as early as 8:00. We'll bring you into the 19 courtroom at 9:00. 20 I'll see if I can pick up some treats on the way, to help make the morning wait a little better. 21 22 Feel free to bring your coffee or beverage or 23 something that you would like to have in the courtroom to help

keep you alert and focused. If you want to do that, you certainly may.

24

Colloquy

Okay. So we'll start with you at nine o'clock tomorrow. We'll take a break about 10:15, 10:30; 15 minutes or so. We'll take a lunch break around noon, about an hour, another break in the midafternoon. And then tomorrow evening, hopefully 4:30, 4:45ish, we'll recess.

Do any of you have questions for tonight?

Thank you, ladies and gentlemen, for your work and attention today.

Please rise for the jury.

(Jurors exit, 4:57 p.m.)

THE COURT: Watch your step.

Thank you, everyone. Please be seated.

So, Ms. Bolstad, for tomorrow, who's up first?

MS. BOLSTAD: I think we'll start with the medical

examiner, Dr. Lewman.

Then I would like to go with Detective Andersen, followed by Morgan Godvin, Michael Rosa, and Shane Baker.

THE COURT: That should get us through the morning --

MS. BOLSTAD: Certainly.

THE COURT: -- at least.

Any matters for the Court, from the Government?

MS. BOLSTAD: I have a -- a question, your Honor.

THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

MS. BOLSTAD: We have three stipulations in this

25 case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Colloguy

1 THE COURT: Um-hmm.

MS. BOLSTAD: I don't know what your preference is on an appropriate time to read them into the record or if I should do that through a witness.

THE COURT: Whatever works for you. I don't care.

If you want to read it and stage the stipulation at a time that is logical in the evidence, that's fine. If you want me to read it at the beginning of a session, that's fine, too.

However you wish.

MS. BOLSTAD: And so if I request you to read it, I'll just make sure you have it available.

THE COURT: Yes.

MS. BOLSTAD: Okay. Thank you.

THE COURT: I have for you a draft No. 3 of jury instructions and separate verdict forms for each defendant.

Please review them very carefully tonight, even for typographical issues because, as you know, I've been doing a lot of the writing, and it's not my expertise.

But I want to be sure you have a chance to work through this on the versions I have. So I'm not sending them electronically, it's just a paper copy.

Tomorrow morning, if -- if you can, I would like to take up concerns you have about the adequacy of these as a statement of the law, and sufficient to take care of the theories defendants may have that they want to be sure I

```
135
                                 Colloquy
     instruct on.
 1
 2
               I had a question. Is there a witness testifying in
 3
     Spanish?
               Or not?
               MS. BOLSTAD: Not one of my witness.
 4
               I think, Mr. Sepp, maybe.
 5
               MR. SEPP: Possibly, but I won't know.
 6
 7
               THE COURT: All right.
 8
               MR. SEPP: Again, it depends on what Mr. Baker has to
 9
     say.
10
               THE COURT: So it may be that we delete the Spanish
11
     interpretation instruction, for example, at the end of the
12
     case, if no witness is testifying in Spanish.
13
               MS. BOLSTAD: The one clarification on that is one
14
     exhibit --
15
               THE COURT: Yes.
16
               MS. BOLSTAD: -- No. 80, was translated from Spanish
17
     into English. And we've stipulated to the admissibility of
18
     that translation.
19
               THE COURT: All right. We can work with that.
20
               That would not affect the jury -- the jury
21
     instruction is the one that tells the jury that if there's a
22
     translation of testimony, they're to take the English-language
23
     translation.
               But -- all right. We'll work on that.
24
25
               Mr. Andersen or Mr. Sepp, do you have any matters for
```

```
136
                                Colloquy
    tonight, for the record?
 1
 2
              MR. ANDERSEN: No.
 3
               MR. SEPP: Not tonight, no, your Honor.
 4
               THE COURT: All right. 8:30, please, everybody in
 5
    your places. And we can get on with the law issues or anything
 6
     else you may have identified overnight that we need to address.
 7
               Thank you. It's been a hard day's work from
 8
     everybody's perspective.
 9
               (Pause.)
10
               THE COURT: Everything all right. Mr. Sepp?
11
               MR. SEPP: Oh, yes, sorry. No, we're just --
12
               THE COURT: I just want to be sure it's nothing that
13
     needs to be addressed on the record. Okay.
14
               MR. SEPP: No, it's not.
15
               THE COURT: All right. Good evening, everyone.
16
               We're off the record.
17
               (Conclusion of proceedings, 5:01 p.m.)
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

	Case 3:14-cr-00267-JO Document 253 Filed 06/03/16 Page 137 of 137
	Colloquy
1	
2	
3	000
4	
5	I certify, by signing below, that the foregoing is a correct
6	stenographic transcript of the oral proceedings had in the
7	above-entitled matter this 2nd day of May, 2016. A transcript
8	without an original signature or conformed signature is not
9	certified. I further certify that the transcript fees and
10	format comply with those prescribed by the Court and the
11	Judicial Conference of the United States.
12	/S/ Amanda M. LeGore
13	——————————————————————————————————————
14	AMANDA M. LeGORE, CSR, RDR, CRR, FCRR, CE CSR No. 15-0433 EXP: 3-31-2018
15	CSR NO. 13-0433 EAP: 3-31-2010
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	